

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 25858

Title: Transanal surgery for obstructed defecation syndrome: literature review and a single-center experience

Reviewer's code: 00070191

Reviewer's country: Turkey

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2016-03-25 16:00

Date reviewed: 2016-04-12 17:18

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this manuscript, Trans anal surgery for obstructed defecation syndrome (ODS) has been described. Including the detailed literature review and authors' experience. Although the number of cases is relatively small, this paper will aid to better understand ODS and its clinical outcome. 1. The content should be more systematically arranged. This will provide to readers to better understand the data presented in the paper. 2. The manuscript is highly repetitive and should be shortened at least 10%. 3. Table 3 and should be revised. 4. The paper needs some language polishing.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 25858

Title: Transanal surgery for obstructed defecation syndrome: literature review and a single-center experience

Reviewer's code: 00058482

Reviewer's country: Spain

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2016-03-25 16:00

Date reviewed: 2016-04-17 23:19

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this manuscript, authors describe different surgical options for ODS, including authors' experience. Although it provides a good understand of ODS, some aspects must be modified to consider for editing: 1. Avoid repetitions of some aspects such indications,... 2. Manuscript must be shortened 3. The format of the manuscript is unclear. Some times it seems a review and sometimes a retrospective study. Authors should follow the format of a retrospective study, including in the discussion the literature review

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 25858

Title: Transanal surgery for obstructed defecation syndrome: literature review and a single-center experience

Reviewer's code: 00919923

Reviewer's country: South Korea

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2016-03-25 16:00

Date reviewed: 2016-04-05 08:00

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This paper is well designed and minutely described for broad spectrum of obstructed defecation syndrome (ODS) with detailed literature review and authors' experience. Although the authors' case scale is somewhat small, ODS is a meaningful topic to all colorectal surgeons. And this paper will be one of the papers that have a meaningful help for understanding of ODS.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 25858

Title: Transanal surgery for obstructed defecation syndrome: literature review and a single-center experience

Reviewer's code: 01220198

Reviewer's country: United Kingdom

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2016-03-25 16:00

Date reviewed: 2016-04-11 05:34

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Congratulations on highlighting this important topic and the surgical options. Suggest: 1. shorten the manuscript considerably by merging many of the sections on various surgical techniques 2. Avoid repetitions 3. Tabular format of the various techniques highlighting the pros and cons of each technique 4. Make the manuscript more readable by 1. Introductions to the problem 2. Current options (mention both medical and surgical) 3. The essential assessments needed 4. Surgical options with review of the benefits and problems of each technique. 5 then your experience 5. I am unclear about where is the data for your last 2 tables