

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 25564

Title: Clinicopathological features of alpha-fetoprotein producing early gastric cancer with enteroblastic differentiation

Reviewer's code: 03015689

Reviewer's country: Spain

Science editor: Ze-Mao Gong

Date sent for review: 2016-03-18 10:00

Date reviewed: 2016-06-20 02:10

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear author, This most remarkable point of this manuscript is the analysis of the influence of this rare type of tumor and its bad prognosis in comparison to the conventional one that justifies the research in this subtype. Even if the approach highlights early cancer stages, you already described a part of the results in this paper in a previous paper (gastric cancer 2016 Apr;19(2):498-507. doi: 10.1007/s10120-015-0497-9. Epub 2015 Apr 18), fact that must be noted to avoid future duplications of the published research. If I may offer any feedback, in my opinion the article would greatly benefit from reviewing the following points: Abstract: Both in materials and results the importance of IHQ for diagnosis is missing. There are IHQ key words and core tip not present in the abstract. Methods: in this section there are aspects included that should rather be found under section 'Results'. Likewise, the definition of curative resection according to Gastric Cancer Treatment guidelines 2010 provided by Japanese Gastric Cancer Association appears in results instead of methods. In my opinion the article could greatly benefit from adding some of the points previously named. Regarding readability, it has a very reader friendly approach. I have thoroughly enjoyed reviewing your article



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

Yours sincerely