

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 27805

Title: Pregnancy and IBD: Do we provide enough patient education? A British study of 1324 women

Reviewer's code: 02445239

Reviewer's country: India

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2016-06-17 14:47

Date reviewed: 2016-06-23 09:29

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Its a dedicated study on IBD and pregnancy in Uk.It is definitely useful for readers of WJG and new literaure adding knowledge in health care professionals and Gastroenterologists related to IBD

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 27805

Title: Pregnancy and IBD: Do we provide enough patient education? A British study of 1324 women

Reviewer's code: 02533156

Reviewer's country: Ireland

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2016-06-17 14:47

Date reviewed: 2016-07-17 20:50

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors present the results of a large survey of knowledge of IBD amongst female members of the UK patient organization. They is an excellent study overall and the results will be of interest to readers. The limitations of the sampling are recognized; the authors received approximately 1300 responses from 4300 patients invited to participate; it would be interesting if the authors could comment on how representative their sample is of the target 4300 in term of bias in the responder versus non responder population. Otherwise the study is clearly explained, the finds are well presented and the discussion is interesting. Would the authors conclude that the findings support the need for pre-conceptual counseling? The value of this has recently been show (deLima et al, CGH 2015) and this paper could usefully be cited. The only minor change I would suggest in the manuscript is that in the results section the authors should uniformly present numbers in the text in a numerical form rather than sometimes using words (this occurs a few times in the second paragraph os the results section)

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 27805

Title: Pregnancy and IBD: Do we provide enough patient education? A British study of 1324 women

Reviewer's code: 00057299

Reviewer's country: South Korea

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2016-06-17 14:47

Date reviewed: 2016-07-19 18:06

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The present manuscript reports the results of online survey regarding disease-related pregnancy knowledge in a relatively large sample of women with IBD from across the UK. They concluded disease-related education is important and health care professional should try to educate patients earlier. The manuscript is interesting and well written. Study methods and conclusion is clear. It will be helpful for readers.