

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 26836

Title: Conversion of laparoscopic colorectal resection for cancer: What is the impact on short-term outcomes and survival?

Reviewer's code: 00180872

Reviewer's country: Israel

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2016-04-29 09:06

Date reviewed: 2016-05-25 17:08

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Conversion of laparoscopic colorectal resection for cancer: what is the impact on short-term outcomes and survival? Thank you for the opportunity for reviewing this important paper. This is a very comprehensive review which represents also its downside since the results section is tedious and hard to read for both the text and the tables. I do think the data are important. make sure you don't repeat text data in the tables and vice versa. With regard to the interpretation of the results, the discussion should emphasize that this is a review and not a meta- analysis.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 26836

Title: Conversion of laparoscopic colorectal resection for cancer: What is the impact on short-term outcomes and survival?

Reviewer's code: 03475726

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2016-04-29 09:06

Date reviewed: 2016-05-26 01:27

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a nice review which comes from a very experienced laparoscopic group. I don't see major language issues. The available literature is well discussed, regarding both short and long-term outcomes. In particular, potential bias regarding the oncological outcomes in converted patients are well debated. References and data reporting are accurate. I do think their conclusion well synthesizes what available in literature. I can spot a little mistake in the Results, third last line of the first paragraph, where "Rotelli" rather than "Rottoli" is reported in the text.