

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 27226

Title: Systematic review: Balloon assisted enteroscopy in Crohn's disease

Reviewer's code: 02822560

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2016-05-18 17:30

Date reviewed: 2016-05-19 08:03

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The present manuscript is well written. The efficacy, safety and long-term prognosis of balloon dilation using BAE should be separately shown between patients with small bowel strictures and patients with anastomotic strictures. This should be analyzed in the manuscript.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 27226

Title: Systematic review: Balloon assisted enteroscopy in Crohn's disease

Reviewer's code: 00503404

Reviewer's country: Hungary

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2016-05-18 17:30

Date reviewed: 2016-05-19 18:43

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

A comprehensive metaanalysis, It adds to our current knowledge

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 27226

Title: Systematic review: Balloon assisted enteroscopy in Crohn's disease

Reviewer's code: 03260548

Reviewer's country: Portugal

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2016-05-18 17:30

Date reviewed: 2016-05-23 17:00

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors present a systematic review on the use of BAE in CD. The review is important for the readers of WJG and is well written and easy to read. Procedural data and outcomes are properly presented and discussed. The primary outcome is the procedure related perforation rate of BAE. Secondary outcomes are the perforation rate of DBE, the efficacy of stricture dilation and clinical utility of assessing endoscopically CD activity. In this way, the title of the review is misleading, as the review focus more on the safety of BAE than on the usefulness of BAE in CD. I suggest that the title should be changed. Furthermore, the main role of BAE in CD is therapeutic, as it is currently recommended in clinical guidelines (such as ECCO guidelines). To assess the activity of small bowel CD other procedures should be used, such as cross-sectional imaging. In this way, and since most studies included in the review are retrospective, with different inclusion criteria, and different purposes for small bowel evaluation, it is very difficult to evaluate the usefulness of BAE in evaluating small bowel activity and guiding further treatment. This should be acknowledged more clearly by the authors. In summary, I believe that the review should focus more on the safety of BAE in CD, rather than in the role of BAE in CD.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 27226

Title: Systematic review: Balloon assisted enteroscopy in Crohn's disease

Reviewer's code: 03317119

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2016-05-18 17:30

Date reviewed: 2016-05-26 16:20

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors showed the safety and the utility of BAE in CD. The paper includes clinically important findings and is well written. Since primary outcome is the risk of procedure related perforation of diagnostic BAE, the authors should write the sites of perforation such as stricture, anastomosis site, adhesion and so on.