

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 27190

Title: Safety and Efficacy of Self-Expandable Metallic Stent Placement in Malignant Small Bowel Obstructions

Reviewer's code: 00036328

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2016-05-17 16:05

Date reviewed: 2016-06-20 01:02

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper from Tsuboi A and coworkers is a single center experience about the placement of SEMS for malignant small bowel obstructions with a palliative purpose. Three cases (aged from 60 to 87 years) were treated with a particular endoscopic technique because at now no enteroscopy system has a working channel large enough for stent delivery. The survival time after the procedure ranged from 29 to 109 days. The study is interesting and well documented. Table 3 should be omitted with data to be included in table 1.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 27190

Title: Safety and Efficacy of Self-Expandable Metallic Stent Placement in Malignant Small Bowel Obstructions

Reviewer's code: 00030205

Reviewer's country: Sweden

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2016-05-17 16:05

Date reviewed: 2016-06-27 23:18

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is well written manuscript describing three interesting cases of malignant small bowel obstruction. Endoscopy is less invasive in such cases and should be used as the authors suggest.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 27190

Title: Safety and Efficacy of Self-Expandable Metallic Stent Placement in Malignant Small Bowel Obstructions

Reviewer's code: 00033377

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2016-05-17 16:05

Date reviewed: 2016-06-28 20:45

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a case series of 3 cases of symptomatic malignant small bowel obstruction, in whom palliative treatment was performed through endoscopic placement of self-expanding metallic stents (SEMS). With 100% technical and clinical success rate, authors conclude that palliative placement of SEMS is a safe and effective modality in malignant small bowel obstructions. Interestingly, the 3 patients had strictures in different locations within the small bowel impacting the endoscopic technique used including through-the-overtube tube technique. Major comments: - Although stricture length was clearly mentioned in the included table, there is no description of stricture diameter. - Procedure time range from 46-132 minutes, however level of expertise of endoscopist was not clarified. - Information in table 2 is clearly mentioned in text, repletion in a table format does not add to the reader. Minor comments: - Page 3 line 11: did the authors mean "limited" instead of limiting - Page 3 line 37: what is meant by "long tube"? - Page 4 line 30: "requested for" - Page 4 line 32: did the author mean "through trans anal approach" instead of "for trans anal approach"