

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 20784

**Title:** Seeing better - Evidence based recommendations on optimizing colonoscopy adenoma detection rate

**Reviewer's code:** 00182422

**Reviewer's country:** Taiwan

**Science editor:** Jing Yu

**Date sent for review:** 2015-06-25 15:02

**Date reviewed:** 2015-07-07 18:20

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The author reviewed various factors which may improve the adenoma detection rate during colonoscopy. Factors such as colon preparation, withdrawing time, cecum reaching, retroflexion technique, position change, second observer, water immersion, high definition colonoscope, Various types of virtual chromoendoscope, panchromoendoscopy, use of antiperistaltic agents, various assisted device of colonoscope such as cap, endocuff, balloon, third eye retroscope, full spectrum endoscope, were all extensively reviewed. The information is very comprehensive and will be helpful for improving the quality of colonoscopic examination. The manuscript is highly recommended for publication.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 20784

**Title:** Seeing better - Evidence based recommendations on optimizing colonoscopy adenoma detection rate

**Reviewer's code:** 03003430

**Reviewer's country:** United States

**Science editor:** Jing Yu

**Date sent for review:** 2015-06-25 15:02

**Date reviewed:** 2015-07-13 07:23

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a well-written literature review on a very important subject. Colorectal Cancer exerts a significant impact on cancer mortality and is certainly worthy of the attention. The literature review is , however, written very much like an editorial, encompassing the authors subjective parlance, indeed voice, in several paragraphs including "withdrawal time" and" visualization of the right colon" The review, however, provides a wealth of information, albeit preexisting and a rewriting of the manuscript to exclude the subjective influences would be recommended.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 20784

**Title:** Seeing better - Evidence based recommendations on optimizing colonoscopy adenoma detection rate

**Reviewer's code:** 00289471

**Reviewer's country:** Italy

**Science editor:** Jing Yu

**Date sent for review:** 2015-06-25 15:02

**Date reviewed:** 2015-07-17 17:42

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Good and instructive review on the topic of optical endoscopy. I would have liked a comment about CT-colonography. However the review is worth of publication.