

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 22123

Title: Diagnostic value of high-resolution micro-endoscopy for classification of colon polyps

Reviewer's code: 00183658

Reviewer's country: Thailand

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2015-08-17 14:35

Date reviewed: 2015-10-03 09:32

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The article is aimed to study a new imaging equipment high-resolution micro-endoscopy (HRME) in diagnosis of pathological classification of colon polyps. The title is "Diagnostic value of high-resolution micro-endoscopy for classification of colon polyps". I have some questions. The authors should to clarify and add the following issues in the text. 1. To date, the diagnostic value of high-resolution micro-endoscopy is in the preclinical stage. Further randomized controlled trials are needed. 2. Please discuss and add the limitations of high-resolution micro-endoscopy. 3. Please also add the limitations of the study in the discussion section. 4. The authors should to recommend the readers "How to apply this knowledge for routine clinical practice?".

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 22123

Title: Diagnostic value of high-resolution micro-endoscopy for classification of colon polyps

Reviewer's code: 00041963

Reviewer's country: Turkey

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2015-08-17 14:35

Date reviewed: 2015-10-09 05:12

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. prospective study should exclude from key words. 2. In object section gender, age and polypdiameters should replace to results section. 3. Table -1 should re-arrange. HRME and pathological diagnosis be in columns and in rows type of polyps should be. This type will be better.