



ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 21633

Title: Recurrent colorectal cancer after endoscopic resection when additional surgery was recommended

Reviewer’s code: 00503405

Reviewer’s country: Hungary

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2015-07-30 12:33

Date reviewed: 2015-08-07 16:15

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In the case report of Takatsu et al. (Eight Recurrent Colorectal Cancer Cases after Endoscopic Resection when Additional Surgical Resection was Recommended) the authors evaluated the type of cancer recurrence after endoscopic resection in 8 CRC patients and whether rescue was possible by salvage operation. The topic is of great clinical importance, as EMR and EMD are widely used endoscopic techniques for removing sessile adenomas or early cancers from the colon. However, these techniques have limitations incl. the experience of the investigator, the use of mucosal stainings etc. I absolutely agree with the main conclusion of the authors, namely for high-risk submucosal invasive CRCs additional surgical resection including lymph node dissection is highly recommended after EMR/EMD, particularly in cases with lymphovascular invasion. Based on the style, the format, the quality of the paper, as well as the use of English language I suggest to accept this well written and well presented case report for publication in WJG.



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 21633

Title: Recurrent colorectal cancer after endoscopic resection when additional surgery was recommended

Reviewer's code: 00068559

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2015-07-30 12:33

Date reviewed: 2015-08-19 22:13

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> [] High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors reported eight recurrent colorectal cancer cases after endoscopic resection and evaluated the type of recurrence after ER in colorectal cancer patients. Though the number of cases is small, that was persuasive and helpful for clinical.