

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 21627

**Title:** Pancreatic enlargement and a diffuse, poorly enhanced pancreatic area in ANCA-related vasculitis

**Reviewer's code:** 00001832

**Reviewer's country:** Germany

**Science editor:** Jin-Xin Kong

**Date sent for review:** 2015-07-30 16:17

**Date reviewed:** 2015-08-01 14:41

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The case report by Iida T and co-workers describes a rare case of ANCA-related vasculitis with pancreatic involvement. This is an interesting and well written case report. I have only one minor comment: could the authors explain the relatively long observation period between May 2014 (trend of improvement) and re-admission in January 2015?

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 21627

**Title:** Pancreatic enlargement and a diffuse, poorly enhanced pancreatic area in ANCA-related vasculitis

**Reviewer's code:** 00069105

**Reviewer's country:** Spain

**Science editor:** Jin-Xin Kong

**Date sent for review:** 2015-07-30 16:17

**Date reviewed:** 2015-08-04 02:44

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Introduction is nice Case report is very well written. As you perfectly explained not to have a biopsy is a problem for being a perfect case Discussion I enjoy reading discussion and how you are excluding other diagnosis. I would like that you include the words used in Pubmed search Possible mistake in reference 17 (name is written twice). Figures: in pdf they are not very high quality images. Legend figures: a little bit long. Tables: 1. Normal values should be included. Different values in text and table. Please check it. 2. You should make nicer and easy to read.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 21627

**Title:** Pancreatic enlargement and a diffuse, poorly enhanced pancreatic area in ANCA-related vasculitis

**Reviewer's code:** 00535896

**Reviewer's country:** Germany

**Science editor:** Jin-Xin Kong

**Date sent for review:** 2015-07-30 16:17

**Date reviewed:** 2015-08-22 14:31

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The current case report and review of literature presents data concerning pancreatic lesions based on ANCA-related vasculitis. It is a well-constructed case report and a short but good review of the corresponding literature.