

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 19521

Title: Glycosyltransferases and Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease

Reviewer's code: 00225277

Reviewer's country: Spain

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2015-05-12 11:49

Date reviewed: 2015-07-13 15:14

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [] The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> [] High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> [] The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [] Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [] Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper is a wide review on the current knowledge of molecular biology on the role of glycosyltransferase in the development of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD). It is a up-to-date overview on the knowledge of specific aspects of the pathogenic mechanisms of fatty liver disease. The paper is interesting for the readers involved in this field, because it summarizes the complex and sometimes cumbersome information, on this very prevalent clinical "disease" found worldwide. In the Conclusion the authors state that "NAFLD is the most common form of chronic liver disease in the world", which probably should be substituted by the expression "the most highly prevalent chronic liver disease". Because of the large number of acronyms used in the text, the inclusion of an acronym list would probably be a good idea. There is some typing mistakes in the text: i.e. Page 5, line 6 far-> for

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 19521

Title: Glycosyltransferases and Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease

Reviewer's code: 02939706

Reviewer's country: Turkey

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2015-05-12 11:49

Date reviewed: 2015-07-24 05:54

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It seems to me a good review, correctly developed with a suitable order, and it summarizes the relevant approaches and creates an awareness on the subject.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 19521

Title: Glycosyltransferases and Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease

Reviewer's code: 00057695

Reviewer's country: Saudi Arabia

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2015-05-12 11:49

Date reviewed: 2015-07-24 15:28

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I have the following comments: This is hardly a systematic review as it is lacking all the components of a systematic review. However, as a 'review' of the topic it is a very good one and well-written and referenced. I feel that some illustrative figures of some of the metabolic processes mentioned in the narrative would enhance the quality and the readership of this manuscript. I also have some minor comments: ? Under Pathogenesis of NAFLD: change the word (people) to a more scientific one such as (workers) or (researchers). ? Page 10: a spelling mistake; (wherin). Correct to (wherein).