

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 22703

Title: Klebsiella pneumoniae invasive liver abscess syndrome with purulent meningitis and septic shock: A case from mainland China

Reviewer's code: 00051373

Reviewer's country: Taiwan

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2015-09-16 13:34

Date reviewed: 2015-10-10 20:18

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT | CONCLUSION |
|--|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | | BPG Search: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | |
| | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting case report with mortality regarding to the Klebsiella pneumoniae liver abscess and hematogenous spread meningitis. The manuscript is well writing but the image presentation should be revised. The key point is the image findings of the brain CT with acute ventricular dilatation. Hence, the figure 1 should be revised to Fig 1a, one image of liver abscess in CT scan; Fig 1b is a pig-tail drainage of liver abscess. The figure 2 also needs to revise for example as Fig 2a is initial brain CT; Fig 2b is acute ventricular dilatation of brain CT. At the meantime, I believed that Fig 3 is a CSF smear with positive bacteria stained is very important in this case report.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 22703

Title: Klebsiella pneumoniae invasive liver abscess syndrome with purulent meningitis and septic shock: A case from mainland China

Reviewer's code: 02462702

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2015-09-16 13:34

Date reviewed: 2015-09-23 22:49

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT | CONCLUSION |
|--|---|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | BPG Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | |
| | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Nicely written case report of an interesting case.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 22703

Title: Klebsiella pneumoniae invasive liver abscess syndrome with purulent meningitis and septic shock: A case from mainland China

Reviewer's code: 00068251

Reviewer's country: Turkey

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2015-09-16 13:34

Date reviewed: 2015-10-19 13:36

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT | CONCLUSION |
|---|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | BPG Search: | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | |
| | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Editor, Word Journal of Gastroenterology 19.10. 2015 Please find below the report for the manuscript titled "Klebsiella pneumoniae invasive liver abscess syndrome with purulent meningitis and septic shock: A case from mainland China" Summary 1- Summary should be revised with additional information. Material and Methods 2- The reason that may cause liver abscess should be considered (thrombophlebitis, diabetes, malignancy, other immunosuppressive conditions). 3- It should explain the reason of adjunction of vancomycin to meropenem after the diagnosis of meningitis. 4- Despite the treatment the worsening of CSF findings should be examined. Results 5- Did examine the CSF by latex agglutination test? 6- You should mention about the Gram staining of CSF whether you performed or not. If you did you should write the result. Discussion 7- It was possible to isolate the same microorganism from CSF. The reason of the lack of bacterial growth in CSF should be discussed. 8- First three paragraph of the manuscript consist of general information should be rearranged. If it is necessary should take place in the introduction part. 9- The treatment options and the antimicrobials that was given to patient should be discussed. References 10- All the



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

references should be rearranged. 11- 4th -18th and 10th - 17th are the same references. 12- In the manuscript 12th references was given as Chang et al.[12] but in the references list the 12th references was given as Fang CT. Your sincerely