



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 29071

Title: Ileo-ileal Intussusception Caused by Lymphangioma of Small Bowel treated by Single-Incision Lapascopic-assisted Ileal Resection

Reviewer's code: 01213502

Reviewer's country: Taiwan

Science editor: Ze-Mao Gong

Date sent for review: 2016-07-30 19:57

Date reviewed: 2016-09-19 14:37

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is a case report rather than an original article. The authors described a patient got Ileo-ileal Intussusception Caused by lymphangioma of Small Bowel, and treated by Single-Incision Lapascopic-assisted Ileal Resection. Indeed, it is rare. The authors offered an interesting case with well treatment. However, the patient came with a chief complaint of abdominal pain without peritoneal irritation signs. In this situation, abdominal CT theoretically was not indicated. Abdominal sonogram was more suitable. May the authors explain and discuss from this point of view.