

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 30280

Title: Maximising the endosonography- the role of contrast harmonics, elastography and confocal endomicroscopy

Reviewer's code: 00003557

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2016-09-24 21:44

Date reviewed: 2016-09-27 22:59

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a well conceived and timely article summarizing recent progress in endosonography. the role of contrast harmonics, elastography and in confocal endomicroscopy. Comments: 1)In regard to: #3. Needle-based confocal endomicroscopy (nCLE), the authors should first explain to the readers what a standard confocal endomicroscopy is (e.g, allows a real time in vivo visualization of mucosal structures under 1000X magnification) and its application to colon cancer and Barrett's esophagus. Then they should mention limited depth of tissue penetration (about 100 microns) by luminal CLE probe and on this background discuss advantage of nCLE. They should also point out that nCLE requires simultaneous use of EUS to localize needle probe within tissue, e.g. muscularis propria, pancreas etc. 2)If the authors have their own data or images they would be nice addition to this paper and welcome by the readers. 3)Paper is overall well written but requires minor linguistic polishing.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 30280

Title: Maximising the endosonography- the role of contrast harmonics, elastography and confocal endomicroscopy

Reviewer's code: 00503623

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2016-09-24 21:44

Date reviewed: 2016-09-26 23:08

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript provides an interesting and critical review of the literature on the application of contrast-enhanced harmonic endoscopic ultrasound, elastography and needle confocal laser endomicroscopy, in the diagnosis pancreatic lesions. Each technique is thoroughly described and critically assessed in the light of the existing literature. The reference list consists of 114 carefully selected citations. However, the style of the presentation (language and style) is somewhat choppy and dry, and hence requires your additional attention. Also, there are sections difficult to comprehend, i.e., second sentence in the "conclusions".

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 30280

Title: Maximising the endosonography- the role of contrast harmonics, elastography and confocal endomicroscopy

Reviewer's code: 00040529

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2016-09-24 21:44

Date reviewed: 2016-10-15 17:37

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper is well written and widely comprehensive of these new ultrasound techniques. My only recommendation for the Authors is to provide some images of these new ultrasound modalities in different parthological conditions.