

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 27629

Title: Neutropenic enterocolitis

Reviewer's code: 03668756

Reviewer's country: United Kingdom

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2016-06-13 18:38

Date reviewed: 2016-10-10 01:38

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a very well written and thorough review of neutropenic colitis. It is interesting and contains almost all the information required. I think further clarification is required regarding the purpose of the search criteria used (was there an effort to make this a systematic review). In addition I feel a figure detailing the disease parthenogenesis would be hugely beneficial to this article but is not 100% required. I would recommend publication with a minor revision.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 27629

Title: Neutropenic enterocolitis

Reviewer's code: 02494210

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2016-06-13 18:38

Date reviewed: 2016-10-12 12:03

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a good-written paper about neutropenic enterocolitis. I suggest a minor revision to this manuscript before publication. As author mentioned in this paper, Neutropenic enterocolitis is known as typhlitis, ileocecal syndrome, cecitis, or necrotizing enterocolitis. How to explain so many different names of this kind of disease? Is there something in common that has not been found concerning its pathogenesis? In addition, necrotizing enterocolitis is a completely different disease in newborn. I think authors should distinguish and analyze these diseases in their manuscript. After all, it's a systematic review.