



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 29547

**Title:** Long term follow-up and outcome of liver transplantation from hepatitis B surface antigen positive donors

**Reviewer's code:** 02818788

**Reviewer's country:** Greece

**Science editor:** Jing Yu

**Date sent for review:** 2016-08-19 18:32

**Date reviewed:** 2016-09-06 14:52

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper is very interesting, well presented and discussed.



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 29547

**Title:** Long term follow-up and outcome of liver transplantation from hepatitis B surface antigen positive donors

**Reviewer's code:** 03294172

**Reviewer's country:** Saudi Arabia

**Science editor:** Jing Yu

**Date sent for review:** 2016-08-19 18:32

**Date reviewed:** 2016-09-08 02:18

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a valuable manuscript but needs revision as follow: 1- Abstract: starting with HCC is not very relevant to the topic. 2- Introduction: very long - 1.2. not relevant to the topic and already well known - 1.4. No need to mention organ transplant, the focus should be on the liver - 1.5. too long, needs to be more concise 3- Discussion: 2.2. Please mention who many HBsAg positive donors were in the pool among which this 28 livers accepted and who many were rejected and the reason (if this data is available) 2.4. (the 6 deceased patients died not for HBV), please discuss the cause and time of death. (the graft and patient survival was 63.6 month) that sounds like the duration of follow up, as figure 2 shows patient and graft survival of 80%, so please clarify.



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 29547

**Title:** Long term follow-up and outcome of liver transplantation from hepatitis B surface antigen positive donors

**Reviewer's code:** 03074879

**Reviewer's country:** China

**Science editor:** Jing Yu

**Date sent for review:** 2016-08-19 18:32

**Date reviewed:** 2016-09-09 16:53

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript shows value outcomes about application of hepatitis B surface antigen positive donors, that is marginal donors. but it needs some revisions: 1. It should be focus on the importance of marginal donors like HBsAg donors ,not on the relationship of HCC and high risk factors. 2. General Features of Hepatitis B Virus should do not been depicted tediously long, as we all know. 3. As you discussed that "The 6 deceased patients died not for the Hepatitis B recurrence but for different reasons" and "The 4 Hepatitis B recurrences that we have followed during the post-LT didn't influence the graft and patient survival. From the surgical point of view, these grafts did not pose any particular risk in comparison to HBsAg-negative ones", please elucidate why these grafts have no risks but some others may be one of reasons effecting the graft and patient survival.