



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 31618

Title: Miniature magnetically anchored and controlled camera system for trocar-less laparoscopy

Reviewer's code: 02549797

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2016-12-01 08:23

Date reviewed: 2016-12-12 00:24

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> [] High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

So I really have no significant criticisms. The concept sounds interesting, the manuscript is relatively well written aside from 2 minor edits I will include below, and I would need to play with the camera myself to have any further judgment about it. Publish with the edits below. Edits: In the bench test, a standard laparoscopy (should be laparoscope) was inserted through an umbilical trocar when necessary to visualize the performance of the camera. The trocar-less laparoscopy (should be laparoscopic) cholecystectomy was performed using a 12-mm trocar and a 5-mm conventional trocar. Interesting read, I like to play with one.