

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 31784

Title: Multiple synchronous clear cell sarcomas of the jejunum and ileum with parotid gland metastasis: a special case report and review of the literature

Reviewer's code: 02742218

Reviewer's country: Pakistan

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2016-12-08 09:27

Date reviewed: 2017-01-03 21:26

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors have reported a unique case of multiple synchronous clear cell sarcomas of the gastrointestinal tract with parotid gland metastasis. Also, they reviewed all cases of clear cell sarcomas of the gastrointestinal tract in the literature to date. The case was very well presented and all the relevant articles were reviewed. Specific Comments: 1. There are few grammatical and spelling mistakes and it is advised to the authors to consult native English speaker for language revision. 2. Rewrite the references according to the author's guideline given by the journal. 3. Add scale to the figure 3, 4 and 5. 4. Insert magnification information in figure 5a and figure 5b.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 31784

Title: Multiple synchronous clear cell sarcomas of the jejunum and ileum with parotid gland metastasis: a special case report and review of the literature

Reviewer's code: 02533298

Reviewer's country: Canada

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2016-12-08 09:27

Date reviewed: 2017-01-11 05:29

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Overall this is a very interesting case report of rare malignancy with an very unusual presentation. The literature review regarding other reported cases was thorough. Further clarification is required in a number of paragraphs: 1. Under "core tip" page 2 - final sentence is unclear ("because of the highly rare morbidity..), I suspect morbidity was not the word that was trying to be used. 2. Under patient details pages 3 and 4. The final 2 sentences regarding patients history should be moved to the first paragraph with the rest of the history (then the physical exam, radiologic and lab findings to follow). it is the unclear if the smoking history is meant to be reported as 40 packs per year or should this be a 40 pack year history (which is the typical way of reporting). 3. Please comment on the reasoning for resecting the metastatic parotid gland with a full lymph node dissection. 4. In the follow up section, at 20 days post op and only starting the first (of 6) cycle of chemo, you indicate that the patient has no recurrence or metastasis. Has any imaging been done since the 2 surgeries to confirm that? Or is this based on a clinical evaluation? Please clarify. 5. The final sentence of the conclusion is unclear as to what type of definition or classification that you are proposing needs to be



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

done.