



## BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

### ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 31948

**Title:** NONINVASIVE MOLECULAR ANALYSIS OF HELICOBACTER PYLORI: IS IT TIME FOR TAILORED FIRST-LINE THERAPY?

**Reviewer's code:** 03260131

**Reviewer's country:** Turkey

**Science editor:** Jing Yu

**Date sent for review:** 2016-12-19 17:12

**Date reviewed:** 2017-01-10 17:57

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It would be better to give some knowledge if there is any about children

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 31948

**Title:** NONINVASIVE MOLECULAR ANALYSIS OF HELICOBACTER PYLORI: IS IT TIME FOR TAILORED FIRST-LINE THERAPY?

**Reviewer's code:** 02993121

**Reviewer's country:** Thailand

**Science editor:** Jing Yu

**Date sent for review:** 2016-12-19 17:12

**Date reviewed:** 2017-01-11 14:55

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This review article tries to convince the good efficacy of tailored therapy as 1st line management for H. pylori infection. The authors provide some evidence based of this new methods but more information should be added. 1. More extensive reviews of tailored therapy as 1st line management for H. pylori infection. 2. Comments and discuss more on current standard guideline for H. pylori management such as Maastricht V, Asia-pacific guideline. 3. Make summary of previous studies

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 31948

**Title:** NONINVASIVE MOLECULAR ANALYSIS OF HELICOBACTER PYLORI: IS IT TIME FOR TAILORED FIRST-LINE THERAPY?

**Reviewer's code:** 03261325

**Reviewer's country:** Romania

**Science editor:** Jing Yu

**Date sent for review:** 2016-12-19 17:12

**Date reviewed:** 2017-01-12 08:17

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is an interesting review about the noninvasive investigations able to detect H. pylori resistances to antibiotics. However, I think that the message of the review is too optimist, because noninvasive molecular tests in stools need confirmation and there are few evidence in the literature to recommend them before first line therapy. The main conclusion "Noninvasive molecular tests may improve patient compliance, time/cost of infection management and therapeutic outcome" is only a supposition and need further confirmation. The article is well written, has a good English language, the references are relevant, and up-to-date (although there are some mistakes in tehnoedactation ex references no 15, 16).

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 31948

**Title:** NONINVASIVE MOLECULAR ANALYSIS OF HELICOBACTER PYLORI: IS IT TIME FOR TAILORED FIRST-LINE THERAPY?

**Reviewer's code:** 03008931

**Reviewer's country:** China

**Science editor:** Jing Yu

**Date sent for review:** 2016-12-19 17:12

**Date reviewed:** 2017-01-12 21:12

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This work by Drs. Ierardi et al, investigates noninvasive molecular analysis of H. pylori (Hp), and asks if it is time for tailored first-line therapy? Author summarized current treatment regimens used in clinical practice and briefly touched noninvasive test approaches for Hp antibiotic resistance detection. The opinion appears novel and interesting; however, after reading whole text, this reviewer noticed areas that require improvement from authors. It is clear that noninvasive assay to detect H. pylori antibiotic resistance are the directional approaches for future efforts, which could provide sensitive analysis and better eradication rate to guide Hp eradication. Therefore, it is hoped that authors could follow these principals to reorganize the text, and provide the field with a directional guild for future research and practice, these important points are missing from the current version. Minor points: Some sentences are very long and hard to understand, this reviewer recommend authors reorganize the manuscript and made it more concise and up-to-point.