

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 31647

Title: A comparative study of ROR2 and WNT5a expression in squamous /adenosquamous carcinoma and adenocarcinoma of gallbladder

Reviewer's code: 03548292

Reviewer's country: Croatia

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2016-11-30 16:40

Date reviewed: 2016-12-12 07:17

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Due to the low incidence of gall bladder carcinoma, this type of carcinoma is usually referred to as part of the group, together with intrahepatic and extrahepatic carcinoma. Regarding the third reference in the paper (2005), newer studies have shown that liver progenitor cells can develop as cholangiocyte and hepatocyte (Moeini et al, Clin Cancer Research, 2015). Gallbladder carcinoma ARE sensitive to chemotherapy, contrary to the author's claim, as stated in ABC-02 Study (Valle et al, New England Journal of Medicine, 2010). Life expectancy with dual chemotherapy regimen is omitted. However, it is 11.7 months (ABC-02 Study).

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 31647

Title: A comparative study of ROR2 and WNT5a expression in squamous /adenosquamous carcinoma and adenocarcinoma of gallbladder

Reviewer's code: 03271124

Reviewer's country: Thailand

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2016-11-30 16:40

Date reviewed: 2016-12-19 21:20

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors, This manuscript shows the large number of squamous/adenosquamous carcinoma and adenocarcinoma of gallbladder with ROR2 and WNT5a expression for the first time, These are my comments, 1. The title of the manuscript is "A comparative study of ROR2 and WNT5a expression in squamous/adenosquamous carcinoma and adenocarcinoma of gallbladder" but from the introduction part and even in your results this two type of gallbladder cancer almost have the same prognosis. It might not be encouraged the reader. 2. The introduction part, what is the true scientific questions of this study. To described the expression levels of ROR2 and Wnt5a in gallbladder cancer for the first time or to compared two different types of gallbladder cancer or to investigate the risk factors of survival of cancer. You should state clearly. 3. There are a large number of results but seem to be redundant and did not correlated to the title and aim of study. 4. The discussion part seemed to be redundant. 5. The outcome of the study shows just that ROR2 and WNT5a expression associated with aggressive behavior of cancer that may be only little to apply in clinical practice because we all know that the gallbladder cancer has a poor prognosis.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 31647

Title: A comparative study of ROR2 and WNT5a expression in squamous /adenosquamous carcinoma and adenocarcinoma of gallbladder

Reviewer's code: 03253791

Reviewer's country: Turkey

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2016-11-30 16:40

Date reviewed: 2016-12-22 04:37

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Author This manuscript is original. Some parts of introduction and discussion was repeated. This parts should be out of article. Best regards