

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 30569

Title: Platelets in liver disease, cancer and the regeneration

Reviewer's code: 00069340

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Ze-Mao Gong

Date sent for review: 2016-10-11 09:34

Date reviewed: 2016-10-17 23:36

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this editorial, the authors summarized the role of platelets in liver disease and described the perspective. The content of the paper is interesting . The paper is well organized and presented. Concerns: 1. Can the authors further deliberate the title of the paper "Platelets in liver disease, cancer and the regeneration"? 2. There are some grammar problems and typos which need to be rephrased, such as: Line 17, "have" should be "has"? Line 43, "Platelets improving liver fibrosis and accelerating liver regeneration." should be a sentence? Line 59, "have" should be "has"? Line 86, "LC"? Line 116, "several novel agents" should be "Several..."

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 30569

Title: Platelets in liver disease, cancer and the regeneration

Reviewer's code: 02860895

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Ze-Mao Gong

Date sent for review: 2016-10-11 09:34

Date reviewed: 2016-10-19 19:28

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a very sophisticated review article concerning platelet supplementation as a therapy for chronic liver disease. The authors emphasize its benefits, but do not show its adverse effects. I agree their idea but the present manuscript obviously loses scientific fairness as a review article. Potential occurrence of thrombotic events by TPO agonists should be described. I think this is its biggest problem.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 30569

Title: Platelets in liver disease, cancer and the regeneration

Reviewer's code: 03536448

Reviewer's country: Austria

Science editor: Ze-Mao Gong

Date sent for review: 2016-10-11 09:34

Date reviewed: 2016-10-28 17:54

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This Editorial of Kurokawa and Ohkohchi describes the relevance of platelets in various pathophysiological conditions nicely illustrating the critical relevance of platelets in liver disease and regeneration. They also summarize some of the current knowledge on the relevance of platelets on tumor development and progression, which reflects the negative aspects on platelet therapy. The manuscript is well written in terms of structure while several language issues are of concern (see examples below). Major: The major question I have is: Do we really have enough evidence that exclude potential deleterious effects on cancer progression during treatments that elevate platelet counts? Specifically in patients that are prone to develop liver cancer. While the authors briefly discuss this issue, they should integrate a separate paragraph focusing on this particular issue. Honestly, while experimental in vitro data have been reported by the group, I believe further evidence has to be generate before we can aim to use eltrombopag as a treatment for HCC as stated on page 13. I would suggest proof reading from a native speaker multiple errors can be found throughout the manuscript – as an example the core tips should be changed as followed: “Platelets improving liver fibrosis and accelerating liver regeneration” should read as “Platelets improve liver



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

fibrosis and accelerate liver regeneration.” “Platelet transfusion can improve the liver function of patients with CLD and cirrhosis in a clinical setting” should read as “Platelet transfusion can improve liver function of patients with CLD and cirrhosis in a clinical setting.” “However, TPO receptor agonist eltrombopag administration for 6 months, despite maintaining the increase in platelets, and safety, did not results in the improvements of liver function in CLD” should read as “However, TPO receptor agonist eltrombopag administration for 6 months, despite resulting in a persistent increase in platelets with an acceptable safety profile, did not results in an improvement of liver function in patients suffering from CLD.” “We think that this difference is in the aging of the platelet” should read as “We think that this difference lies in the aging of the platelet.” Minor: PTR? EP?

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 30569

Title: Platelets in liver disease, cancer and the regeneration

Reviewer's code: 03473402

Reviewer's country: Switzerland

Science editor: Ze-Mao Gong

Date sent for review: 2016-10-11 09:34

Date reviewed: 2016-10-29 00:25

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Editor, In this manuscript, the authors attempted to review the roles of platelets in liver disease (liver cirrhosis), in cancer and in regeneration. The subject of contribution of platelets to liver physiology and patho-physiology is certainly of interest. Unfortunately, the authors failed in providing a clear and focused review on the subject. I think the main issue is that the construction of the whole manuscript does not follow any red line. The objectives of the paper are not identified and data about experiments performed in animals, in humans, or data of the authors themselves, about liver cirrhosis, liver regeneration, ischemia-reperfusion and cancer are mixed. The Core tips and the Abstract, which should attract the attention of the reader, are chaotic. Moreover, the conclusion of the Abstract is not supported by what is written before (no mention of cancer in the abstract before the conclusion). The section about liver regeneration does not provide new information and is very close to the publications of Lisman et al. and Meyer et al. on the subject. Finally, no interpretation of the data, discussion or accurate and evidence-supported conclusion are provided. The conclusion (Summary and Future work) is almost entirely focused on the TPO receptor agonist, which represents only 5% of the whole manuscript. The language is poor. I think



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

the authors should write a distinct manuscript with clearly-identified objectives on each of the subjects. A discussion should be provided and the conclusion/core tips should be supported by the evidence and reflect what is written in the whole manuscript.