



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 32776

**Title:** The diagnosis of eosinophilic gastroenteritis is easily missed

**Reviewer's code:** 03261357

**Reviewer's country:** Portugal

**Science editor:** Yuan Qi

**Date sent for review:** 2017-01-23 13:35

**Date reviewed:** 2017-01-24 02:28

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Very interesting work on a little discussed topic in the gastroenterology community. Given the rarity of the disease, it is not expected that both retrospective and prospective studies could have a large number of patients to allow definitive conclusions. This is why the authors' effort is to be commended. However, I would like to ask three questions: 1 - What percentage of patients in both groups had atopic diseases (and what type). What difference did it make to the controls? 2 - What medication was given to the patients with the diagnosis? What is the response? 3 - Do the authors propose any algorithm for research and diagnostic criteria for this pathology?