

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 34034

**Title:** Drug-induced sigmoid colon stenosis

**Reviewer's code:** 00227386

**Reviewer's country:** United Kingdom

**Science editor:** Yuan Qi

**Date sent for review:** 2017-03-22

**Date reviewed:** 2017-03-27

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an unique report of a case of stricture of the sigmoid colon associated with the taking of a Chinese herbal preparation, Qingdai, for pityriasis rosea. The report is very detailed but is continuous and chronological. Parts which should appear under "Introduction" or under "History and Treatment" or under "Discussion" are all muddled up together and need sorting put under the different headings. Minor points which need attention are:- Page 2 "Abstract". The first mention of IBD should be given in full as well as the abbreviation. Pages 3 and 4. "Abdominal pityriasis rosea" should read "Pityriasis rosea of the abdominal wall" "hematochezia lasting 15 months and defecation difficulty lasting 5 months" should read "hematochezia lasting 15 months followed by difficulty in defecation for 5 months". FIGURES These are good but are numerous. They show the different stages in the history which are well described in the text. A few which are most relevant could be selected and the rest could be omitted. Figure 9 showing the operative procedure is not clear.



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 34034

**Title:** Drug-induced sigmoid colon stenosis

**Reviewer's code:** 01438560

**Reviewer's country:** Brazil

**Science editor:** Yuan Qi

**Date sent for review:** 2017-03-22

**Date reviewed:** 2017-03-29

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Report of a single case of colonic stenosis caused by qingdai. The article is too long with unnecessary clinical details. The authors should separate the case report from the discussion. More literature support of qingdai's effect on the colon should be added. Pathology result should also be added.



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 34034

**Title:** Drug-induced sigmoid colon stenosis

**Reviewer's code:** 01438560

**Reviewer's country:** Brazil

**Science editor:** Yuan Qi

**Date sent for review:** 2017-04-10

**Date reviewed:** 2017-04-11

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript is a very interesting report of one single case of a patient with drug-induced stenosis of sigmoid colon. The authors have revised the manuscript and made several important modifications. Since this paper is a CASE REPORT, I think it very important to divide the paper into: Introduction, Case Report, and Discussion. There is no "Materials and Methods" and "Results". I still think that this "Case Report" is too long with unnecessary clinical details.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 34034

**Title:** Drug-induced sigmoid colon stenosis

**Reviewer's code:** 00047067

**Reviewer's country:** Greece

**Science editor:** Yuan Qi

**Date sent for review:** 2017-03-22

**Date reviewed:** 2017-03-30

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a case report presented with an unorthodox academic (step by step) way emphasizing the mistakes that can be made in the early phase of a disease. It is interesting that the names of the former hospitals are released and representatives of those are among the authors. This could help find answers to questions arising during the case presentation and understand how the treating doctors were thinking. It could be more comprehensive to present dates of the various phases so as to follow the course of the disease easily. For example, did the patient receive mesalazine once or twice? Why colonoscopy was not offered in the early phase? Was fecal calprotectin measured? Was the patient receiving the right dose of Qingdai? Was the patient receiving Qingdai concomitantly with mesalazine? Why this segmental disease with stenosis was confused with ulcerative colitis? Was angiography performed? Why a coated instead of an uncoated stent was preferred? The normal values should be stated.