

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 35277

Title: Resistance to clarithromycin and clinician's persistence roles in nomination of Helicobacter pylori as high priority pathogen by WHO

Reviewer's code: 02523689

Reviewer's country: Egypt

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2017-07-04

Date reviewed: 2017-07-08

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this short review the author focused a very important points namely; clarithromycin resistance and second lack of collaboration between clinicians and microbiologists in tailoring H pylori treatment and it seems interesting especially with WHO alarming about life threatening infections. I have some comments that may improve the quality of the work: 1- The authors mentioned " Indeed, gastroenterologists are mostly unable to start appropriate therapy -using information of susceptibility pattern- due to the certain difficulties in routine H. pylori culture procedure ". I think it is mainly because the invasive nature of endoscopy and biopsy and not only culture problem. 2- A table showing worldwide clarithromycin resistance may enforce authors opinion

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 35277

Title: Resistance to clarithromycin and clinician's persistence roles in nomination of Helicobacter pylori as high priority pathogen by WHO

Reviewer's code: 01548565

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2017-07-04

Date reviewed: 2017-07-12

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript should be published as "Comments" or "Letters" Classification :Gread B Language Evaluation:gread B Conclusion: Minor revision

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 35277

Title: Resistance to clarithromycin and clinician's persistence roles in nomination of Helicobacter pylori as high priority pathogen by WHO

Reviewer's code: 02997214

Reviewer's country: Turkey

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2017-07-04

Date reviewed: 2017-07-15

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Comments; 1. In the manuscript, authors should also mention for all resistance mechanisms, in the resistance development against to clarithromycin, for example, other possible reasons for the resistance development such as macrolid usage against upper respiratory infections. 2. EUCAST and CLSI criteria should be added for culture and antimicrobial sensitivity tests. They should also mention about molecular detection methods. Because of the sensitivity of resistance detection method are not optimal both for taking long time and low sensitivity of culture methods. In future, for the detection of clarithromycin resistance, MALDI-TOF method may be used directly from biopsy samples. This advice may be used as future perspective at the end of manuscript. 3. In the manuscript, authors emphasized primarily the importance of clinicians insistent role in the text but, the following parameters related to microbiology such as the difficulty of culture and resistance detection methods, high costs for detection methods,



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https:// www.wjgnet.com

need for experienced personnel, taking long time of culture and resistance tests should also be considered and added to the text. 4. Authors used very limited references for explaining the global increase in clarithromycin resistance. They should use other regional and international studies. They should also plot a table indicating increases in clarithromycin resistance by using the results of published international studies by the year. 5. Latest Maastricht guidelines related to clarithromycin resistance and treatment in cases with resistant strains should also be mentioned. 6. Some typographical mistakes throughout the text should be corrected and guidelines of the journal should also be re-evaluated and the text should be revised against this guidelines.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 35277

Title: Resistance to clarithromycin and clinician's persistence roles in nomination of Helicobacter pylori as high priority pathogen by WHO

Reviewer's code: 00008633

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2017-07-04

Date reviewed: 2017-07-17

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper contains an important message however did not enough for Review article. Thus, it should be Minireviews or editorial. Major comments 1. There are a lot of mistakes in grammar; e.g. " Face to face with the problem i) In the management.....ii) The next uninvestigated part of the problem.....", " Therefore, two major targets should be determined, I) antibiotic resistance, II) clinician's persistence.", and "this microbe. Conclusively, it is not the exaggeration". 2. The author should write his suggestion to overcome resistance to clarithromycin. Minor comments. 1. The author must write abbreviation in the first time, e.g. PPI.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 35277

Title: Resistance to clarithromycin and clinician's persistence roles in nomination of Helicobacter pylori as high priority pathogen by WHO

Reviewer's code: 02567669

Reviewer's country: Germany

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2017-07-04

Date reviewed: 2017-07-24

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is good review considering the need of a closer cooperation between gastroenterologist and microbiologists. However, the authors should discuss in more detail the question, whether or not a general and world-wide eradication of HP makes sense. There is some discrepancy between "developed" and countries in the third world.