

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 29387

Title: Assessment of multi-modality evaluations of obscure gastrointestinal bleeding

Reviewer's code: 02440886

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2016-08-12 16:15

Date reviewed: 2016-08-17 22:25

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors, I enjoyed reviewing this interesting study. I think it is clear, well written and precise in description and comparison of the examined techniques. Even though the theme has been studied for years all over the World, it is still necessary to update and clarify the best approach to a challenging clinical entity such as OGIB. This study is up to date and follows the most recent guidelines and studies. Also tables are very detailed, maybe it could be useful to choose the most important ones, or it could be useful to resume them a bit, to make tables more readable. Regarding those patients with overt upper bleeding, did anyone of them undergo a repeated EGDS before proceeding directly with DBE? Maybe it could have been useful to detect those lesions such as esophageal varices or Dieulafoy's lesion more easily. Anyway overall well done.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 29387

Title: Assessment of multi-modality evaluations of obscure gastrointestinal bleeding

Reviewer's code: 03475210

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2016-08-12 16:15

Date reviewed: 2016-08-28 11:25

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting study that discusses approach on obscure bleeding/ Small bowel bleeding. This is an area of debate and still with a lot confusion among gastroenterologists. The manuscript is written well, has minimal grammatical errors and the author guidelines have been followed in general. Highlights of the study. 1.DBE is generally safe and well-tolerated procedure for both diagnosis and t/t of these bleeds when preceded by VCE/MPCTE 2.antegrade DBE may serve as the primary modality for patients with active bleeding Recommendations: - too much information is included in the results and tables, some of these tables should be a part of supplementary material, need to come up with a way to minimise this extra information