



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 36368

Title: 3D-printed “fistula stent” designed for management of enterocutaneous fistula: An advanced strategy

Reviewer’s code: 00058573

Reviewer’s country: India

Science editor: Li-Juan Wei

Date sent for review: 2017-09-20

Date reviewed: 2017-09-21

Review time: 1 Day

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Nicely written and well conceived paper. I have only one query. Which part is being 'stented'- is the fistula being stented or the fistula is being bypassed and the intestine with fistula connection is being stented. In case, it's the latter, which I think it is, then please change the title and manuscript accordingly.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 36368

Title: 3D-printed “fistula stent” designed for management of enterocutaneous fistula:
An advanced strategy

Reviewer’s code: 00070310

Reviewer’s country: Japan

Science editor: Li-Juan Wei

Date sent for review: 2017-09-20

Date reviewed: 2017-09-26

Review time: 5 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This paper shows the effect of D-printed stent on the management of enterocutaneous fistula. This manuscript is very interesting. However it will require some revision before publication. 1, Please summarize ‘Introduction’, because of repeated sentences. 2, Please show the long-time outcome and safety of the stent. 3, Please show in detail how to repair the fistula finally.