



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 34871

Title: Health Disparities Are Associated with Gastric Cancer Mortality-To-Incidence Ratios in 57 Countries

Reviewer's code: 00058401

Reviewer's country: Brazil

Science editor: Ze-Mao Gong

Date sent for review: 2017-06-02

Date reviewed: 2017-06-04

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> [] High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Congratulations for the quality of the work.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 34871

Title: Health Disparities Are Associated with Gastric Cancer Mortality-To-Incidence Ratios in 57 Countries

Reviewer's code: 01557562

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Ze-Mao Gong

Date sent for review: 2017-06-02

Date reviewed: 2017-06-08

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

TO THE AUTHORS: This paper is a well-written original article assessing the associations between the mortality-to-incidence ratios (MIRs) for gastric cancer and health disparities among different countries, so it is sufficiently valuable for an original article. However, there are several points that remain unclear. Major Comments; 1. Mortality for gastric cancer is strongly associated with pathological stage at the diagnosis, so early detection is regarded as an important strategy. In Western Pacific region, such as Japan and Korea, gastric cancer is one of the most common disease, so importance of early detection and frequent checkups for gastric cancer are generally known. On the other hand, in the regions where gastric cancer is not so common, attention may be not given to the early detection for gastric cancer. How does the author think about these regional differences? 2. Although the authors used e/GDP as an indicator for health disparity, implication for e/GDP is different between developed



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

countries and developing countries. It may be preferable to use “per capita total expenditure on health” as an indicator for health disparity. 3. The method of calculating WHO rankings of countries is not so common, so authors should mention the factors which is used to calculate WHO rankings. Minor comments 1. On the line 9 in page 11, “As SF1B & C showed” should be corrected to “As SF1D & 2D showed”.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 34871

Title: Health Disparities Are Associated with Gastric Cancer Mortality-To-Incidence Ratios in 57 Countries

Reviewer's code: 00717554

Reviewer's country: Iran

Science editor: Ze-Mao Gong

Date sent for review: 2017-06-02

Date reviewed: 2017-06-11

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I would like to mention the following comments: 1- I am just wondering about differentiation of two types of gastric cancer: cardia and non-cardia, with different risk factors: helicobacter pylori for one and hypertension for another. Was there at distinction between these two types? 2- Discussion is short. It might be better to explain more. 3- ICD codes are missing. Good Luck