

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 30847

Title: Surgical therapies for gastric cancer patients aged 85 or older in a multicenter survey

Reviewer's code: 02927665

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Ze-Mao Gong

Date sent for review: 2016-10-20 20:30

Date reviewed: 2016-10-21 18:09

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors do a good work,they collected data on GC patients aged 85 or older who underwent surgery in our related hospitals, and examined surgical therapies, clinicopathological features, and survival,which give us some treatment advice for elder gastric cancer patients.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 30847

Title: Surgical therapies for gastric cancer patients aged 85 or older in a multicenter survey

Reviewer's code: 02439561

Reviewer's country: South Korea

Science editor: Ze-Mao Gong

Date sent for review: 2016-10-20 20:30

Date reviewed: 2016-10-26 14:43

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Authors wrote a good manuscript. However, I think some corrections are necessary as you can see in red color in attached file. In tables, some explanations of abbreviation are necessary.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 30847

Title: Surgical therapies for gastric cancer patients aged 85 or older in a multicenter survey

Reviewer's code: 03017210

Reviewer's country: Taiwan

Science editor: Ze-Mao Gong

Date sent for review: 2016-10-20 20:30

Date reviewed: 2016-11-02 16:26

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Because of life extension in the elderly and development of minimal invasive surgery in gastric cancer, therapeutic option for gastric cancer in the elderly was increasing. The authors concluded that non-cancer-specific death was not negligible particularly in cStage I, and gastrectomy with radical lymphadenectomy appears to be an effective treatment for cStage II elderly patients. This article can provide therapeutic consideration for surgeon for surgical intervention in elderly gastric cancer patients.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 30847

Title: Surgical therapies for gastric cancer patients aged 85 or older in a multicenter survey

Reviewer's code: 00052339

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Ze-Mao Gong

Date sent for review: 2016-10-20 20:30

Date reviewed: 2016-11-12 11:02

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Surgical therapies for gastric cancer patients aged 85 or older in a multicenter survey Hirota Konishi et al This manuscript tried to address the question that elderly patients with gastric cancer have a specific character or not. I would like to know the following points: #1 Why were the patients with R2 resection excluded in Table3? #2 What is a characteristic feature for elderly GC patients compared with younger patients? The author may mention much more in the discussion part. #3 The most interesting result was the survival rate of cStage1 group because their 5-yr cancer specific survival rate was 100%, but overall survival rate was 56%. What is reason for this difference? Were cStage1 patients more elderly, did they have higher frequent rate with cardiovascular or cerebrovascular complications? If this results are true, the operation for cStage1 GC patients may not contribute the overall survival. Is the operation to cStage1 GC patinets necessary? #4 The author can more precisely address the difference between elderly GC patients and younger patients.