

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 31323

**Title:** Octogenarian Patients with Colorectal Cancer: Characterizing an Emerging Clinical Entity

**Reviewer's code:** 03674667

**Reviewer's country:** Poland

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2016-11-10 16:04

**Date reviewed:** 2016-11-27 18:28

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The matter studied in the manuscript is important and needed. The overall structure of the manuscript is clear and complete. The language and methods are appropriate. However, the article needs some corrections: 1. CRC entity should be discussed in more detailed way in order to clarify this entity for readers that are not familiar with CRC (e.g. prevalence, tumor characteristic etc.) 2. It should be explained why octogenarians received less CRC treatment and why the treatment was less aggressive.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 31323

**Title:** Octogenarian Patients with Colorectal Cancer: Characterizing an Emerging Clinical Entity

**Reviewer's code:** 02438889

**Reviewer's country:** Germany

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2016-11-10 16:04

**Date reviewed:** 2016-12-01 01:21

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

A glossary is needed to keep track of all the abbreviations. The smoking history is less prevalent in the elderly. Could you speculate why? Is overall survival equivalent to DFS? In results and tumor characteristics you mention the well differentiated histology but this is not in table 2. In figure 1 and 2 the legend is missing.