



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 36833

Title: Safety and efficacy of metallic stent for unresectable distal malignant biliary obstruction in elderly patients

Reviewer's code: 02542970

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Ke Chen

Date sent for review: 2017-10-30

Date reviewed: 2017-10-30

Review time: 5 Hours

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This paper study safety and efficacy of metallic stent for unresectable distal malignant biliary obstruction in elderly patients. The results suggested that metallic stents can be safely inserted to treat unresectable distal malignant biliary obstruction even in elderly patients aged 80 years or more. It provided new information for the treatment of unresectable distal malignant biliary obstruction in elderly patients



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 36833

Title: Safety and efficacy of metallic stent for unresectable distal malignant biliary obstruction in elderly patients

Reviewer's code: 00543238

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Ke Chen

Date sent for review: 2017-10-30

Date reviewed: 2017-11-19

Review time: 20 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In the present paper Dr Sakai and colleagues investigate the safety and efficacy of metallic stent for unresectable distal malignant biliary obstruction in elderly patients. In my opinion the issue is of clinical interest and the study was well conducted; however a few issues need to be addressed before publication. - Diagnosis. The authors enrolled 2 "patients diagnosed with unresectable distal malignant biliary obstruction" (page 6), "in 250 patients the diagnosis of malignancy was established by pathological examination, and in the remaining patients imaging techniques and clinical course" (page 7). In my opinion only patients with histology should be included in such study - One major bias of the study might be the definition of resectability, mostly considering that this is a multi centric study. Were the case evaluated by a multidisciplinary team? - Timing of follow up is not well defined: when were the patients re-evaluated? - Minor english



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

revision in needed