

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242

**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243

**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com **https**://www.wjgnet.com

# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 44846

**Title:** Nutritional and vitamin status in patients with neuroendocrine neoplasms

Reviewer's code: 00506397

**Reviewer's country:** United States

Science editor: Jia-Ping Yan

Date sent for review: 2018-12-12

**Date reviewed:** 2018-12-23

Review time: 13 Hours, 11 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
[ ] Grade A: Excellent	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing	[ ] Accept	Peer-Review:
[Y] Grade B: Very good	[ Y] Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	[Y] Anonymous
[ ] Grade C: Good	polishing	[ ] Accept	[ ] Onymous
[ ] Grade D: Fair	[ ] Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
[ ] Grade E: Do not	language polishing	[Y] Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	[ ] Grade D: Rejection	[ ] Major revision	[ ] Advanced
		[ ] Rejection	[Y] General
			[ ] No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			[ ] Yes
			[ Y] No

# SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a timely review on an important topic. The review is generally well-written. The following suggestions are offered to improve the presentation: 1. The second sentence in the Abstract is UNNECESSARY. 2. Table 1 showing several definitions of Malnutrition is UNNECESSARY. The general idea may be articulated in the Text BRIEFLY. 3. The



7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242

**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243

**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com **https**://www.wjgnet.com

authors need to get some of the expressions of English language checked out by a Native English speaker. For example (Ekeblad reported in 324 patients with a pancreatic NET, that being underweight at diagnosis (BMI < 20kg/m2), was related to a poorer prognosis [28].) is poorly expressed sentence. There are several others throughout the manuscript. 4.There is a typographic error on Page 6 "nutrititional" should be nutritional.

### INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Searcn:			
[ ] The same title			
[ ] Duplicate publication			
[ ] Plagiarism			
[ Y ] No			
BPG Search:			
[ ] The same title			
[ ] Duplicate publication			
[ ] Plagiarism			
[Y]No			



7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242

**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243

**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com **https**://www.wjgnet.com

# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 44846

**Title:** Nutritional and vitamin status in patients with neuroendocrine neoplasms

Reviewer's code: 03476021

**Reviewer's country:** Italy

**Science editor:** Jia-Ping Yan

Date sent for review: 2018-12-18

**Date reviewed:** 2019-01-07

Review time: 15 Hours, 20 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
[ ] Grade A: Excellent	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing	[ ] Accept	Peer-Review:
[Y] Grade B: Very good	[ Y] Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	[Y] Anonymous
[ ] Grade C: Good	polishing	[ ] Accept	[ ] Onymous
[ ] Grade D: Fair	[ ] Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
[ ] Grade E: Do not	language polishing	[Y] Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	[ ] Grade D: Rejection	[ ] Major revision	[Y] Advanced
		[ ] Rejection	[ ] General
			[ ] No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			[ ] Yes
			[ Y] No

# SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper from Clement et al. covers a very interesting topic, which has been greatly developed in the last years. The paper in well-written and the literature review accurate, still few points should be addressed: Abstract: - The term GEP-NET refers to "Gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumors" and not gastrointestinal tumors,



# Baishideng Publishing

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,

Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

please amend it. - The terms GEP-NET has been used by the authors in the abstract, while GEP-NEN in the manuscript. I suggest the authors to use GEP-NEN consistently throughout all the manuscript Introduction: - "Due to their varied clinical behaviour... can be up to 50-70%" please consider re-phrase as possible suggestion is: " Although GEP-NEN may present a heterogenous clinical behaviour in a large part of well differentiated tumours (G1-G3) are indolent or slow growing with a 5 year survival can be up to 50-70%" - "Within this review we will focus on neuroendocrine tumours" please delete this sentence. - "Surgical removal of the primary ...have survival benefits" the main point in locally advanced or metastatic GEP-NEN is that surgery may not be feasible, please re-phrase this sentence. Malnutrition in patients with a GEP-NET -"There are several studies reporting malnutrition in NET at first or follow up visits which are summarized in table 1". Correct in table 2 General comments In the manuscript, the authors commented in detail the importance of appropriate screening for malnutrition, I think that should be mentioned also in the abstract session.

### INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

G	Google Search:		
[	] The same title		
[	] Duplicate publication		
[	] Plagiarism		
[ Y ] No			
BPG Search:			
[	] The same title		
[	] Duplicate publication		
[	] Plagiarism		



7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,

Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242

**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

[Y]No