

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 47325

Title: Effect of Blumgart anastomosis in reducing the incidence rate of postoperative pancreatic fistula in pancreatoduodenectomy

Reviewer's code: 03471269

Reviewer's country: Spain

Science editor: Jia-Ping Yan

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-03-17 09:17

Reviewer performed review: 2019-03-17 10:19

Review time: 1 Hour

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I have to questions. - Six experienced surgeons were involved, and all of them performed both techniques. Could the authors explain why surgeons did one or another anastomosis? - Did surgeons, in any case, inserted a wirsung tutor in place?



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 47325

Title: Effect of Blumgart anastomosis in reducing the incidence rate of postoperative pancreatic fistula in pancreatoduodenectomy

Reviewer's code: 03477516

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Jia-Ping Yan

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-03-15 12:09

Reviewer performed review: 2019-03-18 00:42

Review time: 2 Days and 12 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for sending your manuscript. This manuscript was "Effect of Blumgart Anastomosis in Reducing the Incidence Rate of Postoperative Pancreatic Fistula in Pancreatoduodenectomy". This manuscript had several problems. However I wonder



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

you should revise some parts of it Major) 1, This manuscript had no ethical conduct. You should reveal this point. 2, In methods, you described only your anastomosis of pancreaticoduodenectomy. What did you undergo your reconstruction? Two groups were different onreconstruction? 3, In methods, how did you select these two anastmotic methods? These two methods were historical control? If these methods were historical control, the differences of two groups had some problems. You should explain these problems. 4, In discussion, you should consider the merits and demerits of your Blumgart method. Why did you discuss them? Please try to consider again. Thank you.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 47325

Title: Effect of Blumgart anastomosis in reducing the incidence rate of postoperative pancreatic fistula in pancreatoduodenectomy

Reviewer's code: 02544751

Reviewer's country: Slovakia

Science editor: Jia-Ping Yan

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-03-14 09:18

Reviewer performed review: 2019-03-20 10:36

Review time: 6 Days and 1 Hour

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Recension of manuscript No. 47325: „Effect of Blumgart Anastomosis in Reducing the Incidence Rate of Postoperative Pancreatic Fistula in Pancreatoduodenectomy written by Yatong Li, Hanyu Zhang, Cheng Xing, Cheng Ding, Wenming Wu, Quan Liao, Taiping

Zhang, Yupei Zhao, Menghua Dai“, which will be published in World Journal of Gastroenterology. The structure of manuscript is in keeping with the common required criteria. The topic of the work is very actual, because pancreatic fistula is one of the most serious complications after pancreatoduodenectomy for treating any lesions at the pancreatic head. The authors in a retrospective analysis of 291 patients with pancreatoduodenectomy, including Blumgart anastomosis (201 patients) and traditional embedded pancreaticojejunostomy (90 patients) investigated postoperative complications especially pancreatic fistula. Work is clearly legible, brings summarizes new knowledge. The results are documented in graphs that present the review of the obtained data. The citations are actual and their format respect usual standards. The conclusion reflects the author's results and these can be accepted. I recommend the manuscript to be published. Kosice, 20. March 2019 MUDr. Jana Katuchova, PhD. Professor of Department of Surgery University Hospital Košice Slovakia

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 47325

Title: Effect of Blumgart anastomosis in reducing the incidence rate of postoperative pancreatic fistula in pancreatoduodenectomy

Reviewer's code: 03252939

Reviewer's country: Portugal

Science editor: Jia-Ping Yan

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-03-14 10:05

Reviewer performed review: 2019-03-24 17:37

Review time: 10 Days and 7 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The main aim of this study is a hot topic in pancreatic surgery. On the other hand, is a very discussed topic and it is not easy to accept a retrospective study in this field. However, comparing with other studies this study includes more patients which at least



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

would be useful for revision or meta-analysis papers. To accept this, it should be clearly stated and supported in a very good introduction with very good selected references, where should state what was already done till our days to clearly define the gap knowledge. Methods and results should be revised to be summarized and be more objective. Results should mainly focus on outcomes described in methods in a topic fashion way. Why the experienced surgeons choose each type of anastomosis in each patient since wirsung and other intra-operative data were similar between groups? Discussion should answer to the question/gap knowledge: is this study good enough to answer the question “is Blumgard anastomosis” better? or useful? What is the next step to find an answer? Should I change my surgical technique with this results? Attached I send the manuscript with some comments to authors

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No