

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 54223

Title: Pancreatic necrosis and the severity were the independent risk factors of endocrine pancreatic insufficient after acute pancreatitis: a prospective long-term follow-up study

Reviewer's code: 01125451

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: FACG, FRCP (Hon), MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-01-22

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-01-23 10:06

Reviewer performed review: 2020-01-23 10:49

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors report occurrence of endocrine dysfunction in 361 patients of acute pancreatitis. They found 41.6% of their patients to have either diabetes or glucose intolerance. The study has a large number of patients with upto 7 years follow up. There are a few clarifications needed: What were the co-morbidities; how many patients had pre existent diabetes. secondly did the authors correlate length of follow up with dysglycemia. from the data give it seems that patients with a follow up of less than one year had the highest prevalence of DM Do the authors think that there could be beta cell regeneration on a longer follow up? The authors need to compare tests used by them for detecting glycemia with those used in previous studies. The discussion should be focussed only on their results pertaing to aims of the study , there is little point in discussing etiology or proportion of mild disease.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 54223

Title: Pancreatic necrosis and the severity were the independent risk factors of endocrine pancreatic insufficient after acute pancreatitis: a prospective long-term follow-up study

Reviewer's code: 02461932

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-01-22

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-01-22 15:33

Reviewer performed review: 2020-01-24 06:55

Review time: 1 Day and 15 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors conducted a prospective observational long-time follow-up study that aimed to assess the impact of risk factors on the developing endocrine pancreatic insufficiency after acute pancreatitis. They found that potential risk factors for endocrine pancreatic insufficiency after acute pancreatitis were severity and pancreatic necrosis of acute pancreatitis. The topic is interesting and I think this would be of interest of the readers of World Journal of Gastroenterology. First of all, there are so many grammatical errors and immature sentences that are difficult to know the correct meaning throughout the abstract and main text. You had better consult a professional scientific editing service. Add 'multivariate analysis revealed' in Results so that it readers can know that it refers to independent risk factors of endocrine pancreatic insufficiency after acute pancreatitis. 'The area' of pancreatic necrosis is not mentioned in Result.

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 54223

Title: Pancreatic necrosis and the severity were the independent risk factors of endocrine pancreatic insufficient after acute pancreatitis: a prospective long-term follow-up study

Reviewer's code: 02461932

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-01-22

Reviewer chosen by: Ya-Juan Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-04-02 05:15

Reviewer performed review: 2020-04-02 13:01

Review time: 7 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https:// www.wjgnet.com

The authors revised the manuscript as the reviewers indicated.