

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 57721

Title: Letter to Editor 'Prognostic significance of hepatic encephalopathy in patients with

cirrhosis treated with Rifaxamin'

Reviewer's code: 02441083

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Spain

Author's Country/Territory: United Kingdom

Manuscript submission date: 2020-06-25

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-06-29 06:29

Reviewer performed review: 2020-06-29 06:39

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a pertinent letter to the article by Bohra et al. It includes prognostic factors besides HE. Please provide units for serum biliriubin. Please comment on some papers showing surviioval benefits from continous rifaxinib use regardless of the effect on HE.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 57721

Title: Letter to Editor 'Prognostic significance of hepatic encephalopathy in patients with

cirrhosis treated with Rifaxamin'

Reviewer's code: 03725760

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree:

Professional title:

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Reviewer_Country

Author's Country/Territory: United Kingdom

Manuscript submission date: 2020-06-25

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-06-26 05:51

Reviewer performed review: 2020-06-29 14:26

Review time: 3 Days and 8 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript lack the use of an unit on the average Bilirubin (151). Would be nice, if the authors were to specify that the bilirubin is either total or direct or indirect bilirubin.