



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 52223

Title: Pre-hepatectomy type IV collagen 7S predicts post-hepatectomy liver failure and recovery

Reviewer's code: 03074879

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Surgeon

Reviewer's country: China

Author's country: Japan

Reviewer chosen by: Artificial Intelligence Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-11-23 07:53

Reviewer performed review: 2019-11-23 08:44

Review time: 1 Hour

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

The authors analyzed the clinical information 215 patients and find the liver function of patients with type IV collagen $7S \leq 6$ ng/dL will recover sooner than those type IV collagen $7S > 6$ ng/dL. The experiment design is reasonable and the analysis have no obvious mistakes. I have some questions for the article. 1. How do you decide the grouping criteria? How do you find 6 ng/dL is the demarcation line of patients. Do you have a ROC curve or other analysis? Do the level of type IV collagen $7S$ is correlated with the recovery time? If the patients have a higher type IV collagen $7S$ level, the recovery time will be sooner? 2. If the resection volume of live influence the outcome of the analysis?

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 52223

Title: Pre-hepatectomy type IV collagen 7S predicts post-hepatectomy liver failure and recovery

Reviewer's code: 02860849

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: DSc, MD, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Research Scientist

Reviewer's country: Russia

Author's country: Japan

Reviewer chosen by: Artificial Intelligence Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-11-26 03:54

Reviewer performed review: 2019-12-04 04:09

Review time: 8 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

This paper is a Retrospective Cohort Study. It is pretty interesting and the results will be useful for clinical practice and forecasting of chronic liver diseases. The manuscript and figures are prepared very well.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No