

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 66607

Title: Cold exposure and capsaicin promote 1,2-dimethylhyrazine-induced colon

carcinogenesis in rats correlates with extracellular matrix remodeling

Reviewer's code: 05742997 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-04-13

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-05-04 02:02

Reviewer performed review: 2021-05-07 00:44

**Review time:** 2 Days and 22 Hours

Scientific quality	[ Y] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [ Y] No



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I would like to congratulate the authors for their manuscript titled Cold exposure and Capsaicinpromote 1,2-dimethylhyrazine-induced colon carcinogenesis in rats correlates withextracellular matrixremodeling. It is a very well written manuscript which provides great detail on the influence of Cold exposure and Capsaicinpromote 1,2-dimethylhyrazine on colon cancer. However, I do have a few minor comments: 1. The authors should review the manuscript again for grammatical and punctuation mistakes. 2. As histopathological analysis is usually observer driven, the authors should mention how observation bias was accounted for in the study. 3. As the authors emphasize, near organization and relatively straight collagen facilitates migration of tumor cells. The readers would be interested to know the migration pattern and proportions (% of rats that had mets) mentioned in the manuscript. Was there a specific subgroup which showed higher metastasis which was statistically significant? What are the real world implications of these findings? 4. The authors should add an additional paragraph on the implications of their findings in a clinical setting. How would these findings possibly influence clinical practice? I do agree that it may be fairly early to offer comprehensive protocols for clinical practice but the readers would want to know the author's perspective on their findings and its utility.