

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 69501

Title: Clinical characteristics of gastrointestinal immune-related adverse events of immune checkpoint inhibitors and their association with survival

Reviewer's code: 02731847

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, MSc

Professional title: Associate Professor, Staff Physician

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

Author's Country/Territory: Japan

Manuscript submission date: 2021-07-04

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-07-04 17:02

Reviewer performed review: 2021-07-10 17:57

Review time: 6 Days

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a very interesting study on long-term survival of patients treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors who developed gastrointestinal immune-related adverse events. It is very weel written, statistical analysis is well done, and the manuscript is very well written. Nevertheless, I believe the authors should explore more the definitions of these events. Reading the manuscript, I could not pin out exactly which adverse events were studies - was just ischemic colitis? how many of each adverse event happened? I believe this data needs to be further explored and described.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 69501

Title: Clinical characteristics of gastrointestinal immune-related adverse events of immune checkpoint inhibitors and their association with survival

Reviewer's code: 03455028

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Japan

Manuscript submission date: 2021-07-04

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-07-05 13:28

Reviewer performed review: 2021-07-12 13:33

Review time: 7 Days

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

First, the general impression is that the title of the manuscript does not reflect correctly the main result of the study and the introduction section is unnecessarily lengthy. The introduction section needs to be much more concise and focused on the main questions you propose to answer, and why these questions are important. Besides, your discussion should focus on how the questions have been answered and what they mean. please also review the quality of all figures. Second, English grammar and syntax must be improved. The level of English used in this manuscript is not rigorous enough for publication, so the paper needs carefully address all language editing. Finally, please check all the cited literature for both proper formatting for this journal and any special characters that may not have translated properly as well as for their appropriate usage. Besides, you should also include more recent references because this is an area with extensive bibliography.