

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

Manuscript NO: 68368

Title: Life prognosis of sentinel node navigation surgery for early-stage gastric cancer:
Outcome of lymphatic basin dissection

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03017791

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Japan

Manuscript submission date: 2021-05-20

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-05-21 14:44

Reviewer performed review: 2021-05-23 07:19

Review time: 1 Day and 16 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Anonymous [<input type="radio"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="radio"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This authors retrospectively analyzed the prognosis of SNNS for patients with gastric cancer compared to standard gastrectomy, found that SNNS was oncological safe for these patients. This study provided another choice for surgical treatment of EGC, while there are several points should be stated: 1. As the title mentioned that the study object were EGC patients, the inclusion criteria for patients' selection should be the definition of EGC patients. 2. This was a retrospective study, while the patients were included according to preoperative evaluated T stage(1-2), some of which might be pathologically diagnosed as T3 or T4 after surgery, which may impact the results. 3. The surgical procedure of controlled group should be clearly stated and the stratification analysis is suggested to evaluate the oncological safety of different type of gastrectomy.