

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

Manuscript NO: 71939

Title: Comparison of the performance of MS enteroscope series and Japanese double- and single-balloon enteroscopes

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06110807

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United Kingdom

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-10-11

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-10-15 09:39

Reviewer performed review: 2021-10-28 12:39

Review time: 13 Days and 3 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Anonymous [<input type="radio"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="radio"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In double-balloon small intestine endoscope, an overtube with balloon on its top is added to the original push-type endoscope, along with the adding of a balloon at the top of the master camera. Small-intestine endoscope can be completed by alternately pushing-pulling the balloons at the overtube and the master camera. The hook-pull action of the front-end of its master camera replaces the master camera of double-balloon small intestine endoscope. The MS series small intestine endoscope was developed by the authors. And in this study, the authors analyzed the detection time of MS series small intestine endoscope and the objective time consumption for single-balloon small intestine endoscope and double-balloon small intestine endoscope in four hospitals and investigated the possible reasons for the prolonged detection time of small intestine endoscope. This study is very well designed and the results are very interesting. The manuscript was well written, the reviewer suggests to accept this manuscript for publication after a minor revision. Comments: 1. The abstract is too long, include the aims, methods, and results. The authors have to reduce the longs of the abstract. Only keep the most important information of the study in the abstract. 2. The manuscript requires a minor language editing. Some minor language polishing should be corrected. 3. The authors can conclude the data in the results into some table, instead of describe them in the main text. 4. The references should be edited.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

Manuscript NO: 71939

Title: Comparison of the performance of MS enteroscope series and Japanese double- and single-balloon enteroscopes

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06110609

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Germany

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-10-11

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-10-15 09:40

Reviewer performed review: 2021-10-28 12:46

Review time: 13 Days and 3 Hours

Scientific quality	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="radio"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="radio"/> Grade C: Good <input type="radio"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="radio"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="radio"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="radio"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="radio"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="radio"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="radio"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="radio"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="radio"/> Minor revision <input type="radio"/> Major revision <input type="radio"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Anonymous [<input type="radio"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="radio"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting study about the MS series small intestine endoscope. The authors analyze the detection time of MS series small intestine endoscope and the objective time consumption for single-balloon small intestine endoscope and double-balloon small intestine endoscope in hospitals, and the possible reasons for the prolonged detection time of small intestine endoscope were also investigated. The study is displayed well. The results are interesting and well discussed. The manuscript requires a carefully editing. Firstly, some redundant blanks between the words should be removed; secondly, the abstract should be significantly shortened, too long for an abstract.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

Manuscript NO: 71939

Title: Comparison of the performance of MS enteroscope series and Japanese double- and single-balloon enteroscopes

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06110798

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Viet Nam

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-10-11

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-10-15 09:39

Reviewer performed review: 2021-10-28 12:49

Review time: 13 Days and 3 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Excellent study about the effect of MS series small intestine endoscope on gastrointestinal tract. The MS series small intestine endoscope developed by the authors seems very useful in the clinical. After a minor editing, this manuscript can be accepted for publication. Thank you.