

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 68521

Title: Clinical Classification of Symptomatic Heterotopic Pancreas of the Stomach

and Duodenum: A Case Series and Systematic Review of the Literature

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05574528 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2021-06-08

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-06-08 09:04

Reviewer performed review: 2021-06-10 09:18

Review time: 2 Days

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Although the incidence of heterotopic pancreas is relatively low, it is highly susceptible to misdiagnosis for clinician. This is a well-conducted study that reported symptomatic heterotopic pancreas and reviewed relevant literature, addressing an interesting problem for identifying clinical manifestations of heterotopic pancreas. I only have minor comments:1) The scope of your study focuses on describing and characterizing the clinical manifestations for gastric and duodenal heterotopic pancreas, but the study's tile is enlarged with heterotopic pancreas of the foregut. I thought it might be appropriate and inaccurate. It would be nice to have clinical manifestations of other site of foregut, including the small bowel, colon, gallbladder, spleen, esophagus and mediastinum. 2) The discussion part is confused and needed to be simplify and elaborate.3) Your study actually did a lot of work, but as far as I know there are still some articles on imaging diagnosis or differential diagnosis that also included the symptoms of heterotopic pancreas, but why have you not included them? Will the inclusion of these results increase the sample size of your study?



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 68521

Title: Clinical Classification of Symptomatic Heterotopic Pancreas of the Stomach

and Duodenum: A Case Series and Systematic Review of the Literature

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00070310 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2021-06-08

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-06-08 06:49

Reviewer performed review: 2021-06-14 04:15

Review time: 5 Days and 21 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Baishideng Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

https://www.wjgnet.com

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Clinical Classification of Symptomatic Heterotopic Pancreas of the Foregut: A Case Series and Systematic Review of the Literature This manuscript has reviewed HP and classified the common clinical manifestations. This issue is important and interesting. However, it will require some revision before publication. 1, The authors classified the common clinical manifestations of HP. Is this classification useful to make accurate diagnosis of HP and to reduce unnecessary surgical resection? In addition, how do the authors select treatment for each classification of HP?



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 68521

Title: Clinical Classification of Symptomatic Heterotopic Pancreas of the Stomach

and Duodenum: A Case Series and Systematic Review of the Literature

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03586632 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Doctor, Senior Researcher

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2021-06-08

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-06-09 13:05

Reviewer performed review: 2021-06-14 14:43

Review time: 5 Days and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this study, the clinical manifestations of gastrointestinal ectopic pancreas were highly summarized and carefully analyzed, which is of certain value for clinical diagnosis. However, some of the most common clinical manifestations are not specific, so the reference value is limited. In addition, this study did not analyze the difference between different treatment methods and patients' prognosis.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 68521

Title: Clinical Classification of Symptomatic Heterotopic Pancreas of the Stomach

and Duodenum: A Case Series and Systematic Review of the Literature

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05845576 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2021-06-08

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-06-08 04:53

Reviewer performed review: 2021-06-16 12:58

Review time: 8 Days and 8 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interes

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. Have you ever use tumor maker to detect the GI tract tumor? The clinical manifestations of heterotopic pancreas may be asymptomatic; if symptomatic, it can manifest as abdominal pain, GI bleeding, intussusception, or pancreatitis. Additionally, when heterotopic neoplasm occurs, there may be a mild elevation in the serum amylase level or tumor markers. 2. Have you ever use enteroscopy for the the GI tract tumor? Small intestinal heterotopic pancreas is usually difficult to diagnose preoperatively. In the past, most cases of small intestinal heterotopic pancreas were diagnosed in laparotomies or autopsies. Recently, a few cases of small intestinal heterotopic pancreas were diagnosed by deep enteroscopy or capsule endoscopy. 3. Do your study mention about other diagnosite methods for clinical symptoms? Conventionally, surgical resection is the mainstay method for treating small intestinal heterotopic pancreas. However, endoscopic resection via deep enteroscopy is an alternative method. 4. Your reference may consider to add this paper as below, it may be helpful for your study A Rare Cause of Elevated Serum CA19-9. -Wei Chou 1, Kai-Po Chang 2, Yi-Hua Wu 3.Gastroenterology. 2021 Jan;160(1):31-32. 5. This Retrospective Cohort Study has good potential, but needs to clearly disclose which article are the source of the cases cited in the review. It should specify how many cases were contributed by each source article, which is currently lacking.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 68521

Title: Clinical Classification of Symptomatic Heterotopic Pancreas of the Stomach

and Duodenum: A Case Series and Systematic Review of the Literature

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02734287 **Position:** Editorial Board

Academic degree: FEBS, MD, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Croatia

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2021-06-08

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-06-08 14:10

Reviewer performed review: 2021-06-16 15:42

Review time: 8 Days and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a valuable review of a very rare entity combining the authors' clinical series with a systematic review of the literature. The resulting clinical classification of symptomatic heterotopic pancreas brings an additional value to the report. I support the publication of this valuable material.



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 68521

Title: Clinical Classification of Symptomatic Heterotopic Pancreas of the Stomach

and Duodenum: A Case Series and Systematic Review of the Literature

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03586632 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Doctor, Senior Researcher

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2021-06-08

Reviewer chosen by: Ze-Mao Gong

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-09-07 00:32

Reviewer performed review: 2021-09-07 03:54

Review time: 3 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors, I am very glad to be able to participate in the re-review of your manuscript. The revised manuscript basically meets the requirements for publication. I suggest that HP in Table 1 and NOS in Table 3 be noted. Sincerely yours