

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 74997

Title: Brown slits for colorectal adenoma crypts on conventional magnifying endoscopy

with narrow band imaging using the X1 system

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06183516

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Syria

Author's Country/Territory: Japan

Manuscript submission date: 2022-01-16

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-01-16 06:57

Reviewer performed review: 2022-01-24 10:13

Review time: 8 Days and 3 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[Y] Accept (High priority)</li> <li>[] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[] Minor revision</li> <li>[] Major revision</li> <li>[] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

#comment: The paper is very valuable, and all researchers deserve thanks for their efforts. I liked the discussion of the limitations of this study. They are very detailed which could give us an impulse to start more and more studies to improve this new finding. Kind regard,



# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 74997

Title: Brown slits for colorectal adenoma crypts on conventional magnifying endoscopy

with narrow band imaging using the X1 system

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05450115

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MM

Professional title: Attending Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Japan

Manuscript submission date: 2022-01-16

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-01-27 05:45

Reviewer performed review: 2022-02-04 22:14

Review time: 8 Days and 16 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The diagnostic performance of brown slits for adenoma is very good.



# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 74997

Title: Brown slits for colorectal adenoma crypts on conventional magnifying endoscopy

with narrow band imaging using the X1 system

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05663895

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: Japan

Manuscript submission date: 2022-01-16

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-01-27 02:00

Reviewer performed review: 2022-02-05 20:49

Review time: 9 Days and 18 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing</li> <li>[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection</li> </ul>
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript introduces the reader to a new technological tool for prediction of pathology in colorectal polyps. It remainsw unclear to me if the authors have implemented this diagnostic tool to their clinical practice? How Having this technology will impact the decision for removal of polyps encountered during colonoscopy?