

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 72754

Title: Endoscopic techniques for the diagnosis and treatment of gastro-entero-pancreatic

neuroendocrine neoplasms: where we are

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05524138 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Chief Doctor, Consultant Physician-Scientist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Kazakhstan

Author's Country/Territory: Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2021-10-27

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-11-15 15:05

Reviewer performed review: 2021-11-15 15:11

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[Y] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[Y] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

Conflicts-of-Interest: [Y] Yes [] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

No comments



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 72754

Title: Endoscopic techniques for the diagnosis and treatment of gastro-entero-pancreatic

neuroendocrine neoplasms: where we are

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06198447 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Research Assistant

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Russia

Author's Country/Territory: Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2021-10-27

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-11-12 11:57

Reviewer performed review: 2021-11-23 18:49

Review time: 11 Days and 6 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No



Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [] Anonymous [Y] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Endoscopic techniques for the diagnosis and treatment of gastro-entero-pancreatic neuroendocrine neoplasms: where we are 1 Title. The title reflects well the main subject of the manuscript. 2 Abstract. The abstract summarizes and reflects the work described in the manuscript. 3 Key words. The key words reflect the focus of the manuscript. 4 Background. The authors describe well the background, present status, and significance of the study. 5 Methods. The manuscript describes methods in adequate detail. 6 Results. The authors highlight the role of EUS, capsule endoscopy and double balloon enteroscopy in different GEP NENs in very detail. All the options of endoscopic treatment for GEP-NENs are summarized, as well as indications for it. 7 Discussion. Lots of references to recent studies in this field are given and discussed in the results part. The lack of investigations in some issues are pointed. The optimal diagnostic and treatment tactics are figured out. 8 Illustrations and tables. In my opinion for figure 2 it would be better to use more spectacular image there the fine-needle tip is well visualized within the lesion. 9 Biostatistics. The manuscript meets the requirements of biostatistics. 10 Units. The manuscript meets the requirements of use of SI units. 11 References. The citations are correct. 12 The manuscript is well, concisely and coherently organized and presented. The style, language and grammar are accurate and appropriate. 13 Research methods and reporting. The authors prepared the manuscript according to the appropriate research methods. 14 The manuscript met the requirements of ethics. Comments on writing. GEP-NENs is very relevant subject in clinical practice. The authors systematized all the diagnostic and treatment endoscopic modalities, depending on GEP-NEN's type and



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

location. The issues that are needed to be farther investigated are also pointed. This is the manuscript of a great quolity and of a high importance. The endoscopic diagnostic and treatment approaches described in this manuscript can be sucsessfully used in clinical practise. Further investigations are needed in the field of endoscopic confocal laser endomicroscopy in diagnostics of Pan-NENs and radiofrequency ablation as a modality of its endoscopic treatment.