

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 73466

Title: Percutaneous transhepatic cholangiography vs endoscopic ultrasound-guided biliary drainage: A systematic review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05346740

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor, Senior Lecturer, Surgeon, Surgical Oncologist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: United Kingdom

Manuscript submission date: 2021-11-22

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-12-08 13:04

Reviewer performed review: 2021-12-14 02:42

Review time: 5 Days and 13 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors undertook a systematic review for the technical aspects and outcomes of different approaches to biliary drainage. The results indicated EUS-BD is linked with a higher rate of effective biliary drainage and manageable procedure-related adverse event profile compared with PTBD. The study was carried out precisely and correctly and the paper is well strucured. Tables are impressive and the previous relevant literature was taken into consideration. A few suggestions: 1. Figures should be added to make the results comprehensible. 2.Some spelling and grammar mistakes should be checked carefully. 3.The reference should be updated .



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 73466

Title: Percutaneous transhepatic cholangiography vs endoscopic ultrasound-guided biliary drainage: A systematic review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05227022

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Attending Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: United Kingdom

Manuscript submission date: 2021-11-22

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-12-07 00:48

Reviewer performed review: 2021-12-16 22:02

Review time: 9 Days and 21 Hours

Scientific quality	[Y] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[Y] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Excellent summation of the various endoscopic techniques for biliary drainage, including comparative outcomes compared to percutaneous approaches.