

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 75890

Title: Making the case for multidisciplinary pediatric aerodigestive programs

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04551037 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, MSc

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2022-02-21

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-03-14 04:25

Reviewer performed review: 2022-03-15 12:13

Review time: 1 Day and 7 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1 Title. No, it can be rewritten in a manner to reflect the review content of the article. Triple endoscopy content was promoted, however it is not the main part, only one of the subsegments. 2 Abstract. Appropriate 3 Key words. Appropriate 4 Background. Appropriate 5 Methods. Appropriate 6 Results. Appropriate 7 Discussion. 8 Illustrations and tables. Appropriate Appropriate 9 Biostatistics. N/A 10 Units. 11 References. Appropriate 12 Quality of manuscript organization and Appropriate presentation. Appropriate 13 Research methods and reporting. Appropriate 14 Ethics statements. N/A



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com **https:**//www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 75890

Title: Making the case for multidisciplinary pediatric aerodigestive programs

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03253728 Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MAMS, MBBS

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2022-02-21

Reviewer chosen by: Qi-Gu Yao

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-04-15 10:13

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-19 04:12

Review time: 3 Days and 17 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

A formal definition of aerodigestive programs may be needed. What is an aerodigestive program and what are its component? The purpose of the review needs to be included in the introduction. "There is growing literature ----- concept for aerodigestive programs"-Please provide references to support it. What is the indication of triple endoscopy in these patients? The message of the review is not very clear. The flow of ideas can be improved. The readers may get lost into the article with no clear benefit. What are we exactly dealing with? Is it triple endoscopy, aerodigestive program, or both?