

# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 76214

**Title:** Effects of Granule Dendrobii on chronic atrophic gastritis induced by nitrosoguanidine in rats

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 00036517

**Position:** Editorial Board

Academic degree: AGAF, MD, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-13

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-04-06 05:21

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-13 05:05

Review time: 6 Days and 23 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing</li> <li>[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection</li> </ul>
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

At first, this manuscript is too long for readers. I suggest that authors need to clear it up, especially the part of results. In discussion, there are a lot of repeated results, i suggest that authors need to add the speculations from their results in discussion.



# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 76214

**Title:** Effects of Granule Dendrobii on chronic atrophic gastritis induced by nitrosoguanidine in rats

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03544596

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-13

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-07 10:38

Reviewer performed review: 2022-05-16 17:47

**Review time:** 9 Days and 7 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing</li> <li>[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection</li> </ul>
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Editor, Thanks to the authors for this experimental study. There is limited studies

in this topic. I think that it will contribute to the literature.



# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 76214

**Title:** Effects of Granule Dendrobii on chronic atrophic gastritis induced by nitrosoguanidine in rats

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05387405

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MSc, PhD

Professional title: Research Scientist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Hungary

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-13

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-06 09:42

Reviewer performed review: 2022-05-17 11:55

Review time: 11 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ Y] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing</li> <li>[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection</li> </ul>
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Authors investigated the effect of Dendrobium officinale in CAG animal models. The following issues should be resolved. Major issues: 1. Both the Methods and Results section is very repetitive. Futher editing of the text, merging related paragraphsm, etc. is needed. 2. "Its main function is to supplement Qi, nourish Yin and stomach to improve the production of body fluid, making it suitable for treating deficiency of both Qi and Yin". Please update the text that it would be understandable for western researchers as well. 3. "and so on." Please, indicate all ingredients. 4. Detailed description of the various staining methods are not necessary if they are routin ones and can be cited. 5. "Main reagents: Nitrosoguanidine (MNNG, Tokyo Chemical Industry, Japan). Antibody against gastric epithelial cell proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA, NeoMarkers, USA) Anti- Bcl-2 antibody (Millipore, USA). " Insteas of a plain listing, include the various chemicals in their corresponding paragraph. 6. Authors provided the sample files available on the WJG site for both Statistical and Language certificates. Those must be properly filed and updated. 7. Please explain, why the Ethical and Animal care documents have different dates. The first is from 2016 while the latter from 2019. Why the difference? 8. More detailed discussion of the results is needed? Eg., were any similar (animal) studies conducted to investigate traditional herbs of any countries over CAG? 9. Description of statistical methods must be more clear. I assume authors used ANOVA, however, for most readers the term ANOVA would be more understandable than F-test. Please include, which LSD test was used (Tukey??). Did authors use Kuskal-Wallis ANOVA for the non-parametric comparisons? 10. On figure 1, there is a minor weight loss for every animal from the 7th until the 8th week. Please explain. 11. ARRIVE



checklist is empty. 12. Moderate English polishing is needed. Minor issues: 1. For better recognition of the various herbs please include the latin names for all of the plants mentioned thoughout the text. 2. Pay attention to the correct spelling of binomial names: capital letter for genus and lowercase for species, both in italics. 3. Naming of study groups would be mroe clease as follows: CAG no-treatment, CAG DG low/medium/high, CAG YWS and healthy animals. 4. Do not merge Figures, use A/B/C/etc instead. 5. "Proliferating Cell Nuclear Antigen (PCNA) is an intraconuclear protein that is expressed in a small amount during the quiescence phase of DNA synthesis. It is an important indicator of cell proliferation because its changes are consistent with intracellular DNA replication. The B-cell lymphoma-2 (Bcl-2) is a gene that inhibits apoptosis. Its overexpression can inhibit cell apoptosis and is indicative of a favorable precondition for the transformation of malignant cells." This should be moved from Results to Methods.



### **RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT**

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 76214

**Title:** Effects of Granule Dendrobii on chronic atrophic gastritis induced by nitrosoguanidine in rats

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05387405

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MSc, PhD

Professional title: Research Scientist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Hungary

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-13

Reviewer chosen by: Ze-Mao Gong

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-06-28 15:27

Reviewer performed review: 2022-06-28 15:51

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous





statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript improved significantly. I recommend its publication.