

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 76760

Title: Impact of adalimumab on disease burden in moderate-to-severe ulcerative colitis

patients: The one-year, real-world UCanADA study

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05040165 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Thailand

Author's Country/Territory: Canada

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-30

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-04-27 00:43

Reviewer performed review: 2022-05-09 10:31

**Review time:** 12 Days and 9 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes [ ]No



https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The title of the article is "Impact of adalimumab on disease burden in moderate-to-severe ulcerative colitis patients: The one-year, real-world UCanADA study". The authors conducted a single arm, prospective, 1-year multicenter Canadian post-marketing observational study (PMOS). This study aimed to assess real-world effectiveness of adalimumab on PRO measures in patients with moderate-to-severe UC. This is an interesting paper. However, some of main important issues need to be verified to improve your work as following. 1. The authors state that "using a mixed model for repeated measures using observations from all follow-up visits with the baseline value included in the model as a covariate". Please provide the standard error bar charts or marginsplot which create from the proper modeling equation and account for correlation of data. Additionally, please clarify the details of which analysis rely on e.g., marginal model or conditional model. 2. Not to mention the great possibility of bias, some of which are nicely indicated in the Discussion. 3. How the authors deal with possible missing not at random in nearly 40% drop out participants. Additionally, the great number of drop out participants might affect to power of analysis and conclusion. How the authors deal? 4. Finally, since I am not a native English user, I did not check for grammatical errors thoroughly. This should be done by an appropriate language reviewer.



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 76760

Title: Impact of adalimumab on disease burden in moderate-to-severe ulcerative colitis

patients: The one-year, real-world UCanADA study

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05174548 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor, Research Fellow

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Italy

Author's Country/Territory: Canada

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-30

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-10 08:32

Reviewer performed review: 2022-05-10 10:47

**Review time:** 2 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes [ ]No



# **Baishideng Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [ Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Here I review the papaer entitled "Impact of adalimumab on disease burden in moderate-to-severe ulcerative colitis patients: The one-year, real-world UCanADA study". Authors performed an observational, prospective study about the global burden of IBD in patients treated with adalimumab. The topic is current, and the study is well designed. The manuscript is well written and clear. I do not have language-related complaints. I only would suggest to specify how long must the washout period have been for the patient to be enrolled in the study. Moreover, only patients who had primary failure to biologics were excluded, while patients with secondary loss of response did not. Patients who underwent multiple therapies may start a new therapy with greater psychological burden than patients biologic-naive. This should be at least briefly discussed in the Discussion section. I do not have any further comment.



### RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 76760

Title: Impact of adalimumab on disease burden in moderate-to-severe ulcerative colitis

patients: The one-year, real-world UCanADA study

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05040165 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Thailand

Author's Country/Territory: Canada

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-30

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ru Fan

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-07-28 09:36

Reviewer performed review: 2022-07-31 07:13

**Review time:** 2 Days and 21 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [ Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous



statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors addressed all my previous comments. I have no additional concern.