

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 78114

Title: No long-term survival benefit with sustained-release 5-fluorouracil implants in

patients with stages II and III gastric cancer

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05754965 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Postdoc

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-06-08

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-06-22 16:36

Reviewer performed review: 2022-06-27 19:08

Review time: 5 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	[Y] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[Y] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This study by Wu et al is a well-organized clinical study focusing on evaluation of the efficacy of 5-FU treatment in advanced stage of gastric cancer. The data support their major conclusion. The writing is well and the structure of paper is sound.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 78114

Title: No long-term survival benefit with sustained-release 5-fluorouracil implants in

patients with stages II and III gastric cancer

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05038583 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-06-08

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-07-18 00:34

Reviewer performed review: 2022-07-18 01:00

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

General comments This study evaluated the efficacy of intraoperative sustained release 5-FU for treating Stage II and III gastric cancer. The current clinical dilemma is a high recurrence rate after resectioning gastric cancer, so this study is clinically relevant. This study did not show the survival benefit of 5-FU implants for stage II and III gastric cancer, and the population size was limited. Specific comments Three hundred nine patients were included, but 241 patients were excluded due to incomplete data. This is a large number of exclusions that may lead to bias or cofounder. The author has addressed Figure 1 is a little challenging to read due to its small these issues on their limitation. size and color. I suggest improvement of this table. Intraoperative sustained release 5-FU treatment is not a standard of care for gastric cancer treatment, at least in North America. There were so many patients who had received non-standard therapy outside the clinical trial settings. Did this study need to be a clinical trial rather than a retrospective study? Informed consent was not included in this study, and did the participant in this study aware of receiving non-standard therapy? Please clarify to follow ethical standard of the research.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 78114

Title: No long-term survival benefit with sustained-release 5-fluorouracil implants in

patients with stages II and III gastric cancer

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05721372 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Romania

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-06-08

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-08-04 19:56

Reviewer performed review: 2022-08-04 20:01

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No



Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript represents an interesting article about gastric cancer. prognosis is also influenced by complications, one of them being anastomotic fistula. Cite: Radulescu D, Baleanu VD, Padureanu V, et al. Neutrophil/Lymphocyte Ratio as Predictor of Anastomotic Leak after Gastric Cancer Surgery. Diagnostics (Basel). 2020 Oct 9;10(10):799. doi: 10.3390/diagnostics10100799.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 78114

Title: No long-term survival benefit with sustained-release 5-fluorouracil implants in

patients with stages II and III gastric cancer

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05400626 Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: FACS, MD, MNAMS

Professional title: Doctor, Professor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-06-08

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-08-07 12:13

Reviewer performed review: 2022-08-19 21:52

Review time: 12 Days and 9 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer

Baishideng Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors, Congratulations for coming up with a well conceived and properly written manuscript. However, I find gross issues with the methods. The inclusion criteria and the title are not in coherence. The bigger issue is that the dat with incomplete records have been included, despite that having been an exclusion criteria



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 78114

Title: No long-term survival benefit with sustained-release 5-fluorouracil implants in

patients with stages II and III gastric cancer

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05400626 Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: FACS, MD, MNAMS

Professional title: Doctor, Professor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-06-08

Reviewer chosen by: Yun-Xiaojian Wu

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-09-13 12:39

Reviewer performed review: 2022-09-13 20:36

Review time: 7 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[Y] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [] Anonymous [Y] Onymous



statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors, Please make the suggested grammatical and typographical correction Best wishes



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 78114

Title: No long-term survival benefit with sustained-release 5-fluorouracil implants in

patients with stages II and III gastric cancer

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05038583 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-06-08

Reviewer chosen by: Yun-Xiaojian Wu

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-09-13 22:37

Reviewer performed review: 2022-09-13 22:49

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for providing clarification based on our recommendation. I do not have any additional recommendation at this time.