

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

Manuscript NO: 79210

Title: Comparison of the indexes of Gastroenterology & Hepatology journals in different databases

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02862753

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Full Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Lebanon

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-08-09

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-08-10 07:00

Reviewer performed review: 2022-08-22 09:02

Review time: 12 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="radio"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="radio"/> Grade C: Good <input type="radio"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="radio"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="radio"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="radio"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="radio"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="radio"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="radio"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="radio"/> Minor revision <input type="radio"/> Major revision <input type="radio"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The study is novel showing JAI as a timeless marker of journal impact. The paper is well conducted with eye catching figures. However the presence of 3 tables is very cumbersome. Please Combine the 3 tables 1,2,3 into one table with adding in the columns JAI,JIF and CS for each journal when available, and this would show the difference for each journalvfor these three parameters.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

Manuscript NO: 79210

Title: Comparison of the indexes of Gastroenterology & Hepatology journals in different databases

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06252297

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MSc

Professional title: Academic Research, Research Scientist, Researcher

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-08-09

Reviewer chosen by: Lian-Sheng Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-08-19 03:54

Reviewer performed review: 2022-08-22 23:54

Review time: 3 Days and 20 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Congratulations on the performed study. Their results are quite interesting, as well as it is a study of scientific relevance. Below, I highlight some suggestions for improvements for the final version of the article. * In general, the abstracts are composed by the following structure: contextualization, research problem, proposed solution, results and conclusion. Apparently, your abstract have not some summarized results and conclusion. I suggest review your abstract and adapt it for this structure. You could use the information in "Core tip" to update your abstract. * In fact, the linearity of JAIJ-JIF-CS is clear in lower-quality journals. But was it investigated the main reason of this non-linearity for higher-quality journals? * Regarding to their results, I think the authors could explore more deeply other types of analyses that has the same purpose of scientometric indexes comparison, based on state-of-art in Scientometrics/Bibliometrics. * The images' quality needs to be improved. In addition, I suggest increasing the font size of text in the charts. * More than half (11) of the references are outdated and/or wrongly written. * I suggest the inclusion of a "Threats to Validity" section, highlighting the threats related to the study performed. * The authors could include some future works in "Conclusion" section.