

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 81164

Title: Discussion on gemcitabine combined with targeted drugs in the treatment of

pancreatic cancer

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06097590

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-28

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-01 07:04

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-07 15:29

Review time: 6 Days and 8 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [ ] Anonymous [Y] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I appreciate the opportunity reviewing the manuscript on pancreatic cancer. In this letter to editor, the authors discussed the merits of the combination of gemcitabine and targeted drugs, which may offer additional benefits to patients. The manuscript is generally well-written and the only thing needs clarification is to elaborate the reasons why gencitabine+ erlotinib had shorter median OS compared to gencitabine monotherapy in the LAP07 trial (11.9mo vs. 13.6 mo). Is it due to higher adverse effects, such as myelosuppression, or neutropenic fever? Additionally, combination therapy, especially combination with high-cost target drugs, could represent significant financial toxicicity to patients who are uncovered or underinsured. Therefore, in real-world studies, choice of combination therapy is usually confounded by multiple factors, introducing slection bias that favor the combination therapy. Authors should mention the descrepancies in real-word practice and RCT findings, and should be cautious in interpreting somehow encouraging results from observational studies.



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 81164

**Title:** Discussion on gemcitabine combined with targeted drugs in the treatment of pancreatic cancer

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04423126

**Position:** Editorial Board

Academic degree: FACS

Professional title: Chief Doctor, Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Germany

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-28

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-22 05:52

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-22 05:57

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing</li> <li>[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection</li> </ul>
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

A very good comment regarding the paper of Nishimoto.



# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 81164

**Title:** Discussion on gemcitabine combined with targeted drugs in the treatment of pancreatic cancer

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03998130

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Senior Lecturer, Surgeon, Surgical Oncologist, Teacher

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Romania

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-28

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-26 11:08

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-26 11:19

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This Letter to the Editor comments on the results of an article published in the World Journal of Gastroenterology by Nishimoto A in 2022. All the comments are pertinent and would interest the journal readers.