PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

Manuscript NO: 80904

Title: Establishment of a prediction model for severe acute radiation enteritis associated with cervical cancer radiotherapy

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05225153

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MSc

Professional title: Research Scientist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Serbia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-18

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-10-31 18:51

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-06 19:09

Review time: 6 Days

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [ ] No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I think that the manuscript is well written. I ask Authors to read my suggestions and to include a few important facts in the introductory part of the paper. I wrote in which direction to discuss. After that the paper could be accepted for publication.   What are the new hypotheses that this study proposed? What are the new phenomena that were found through experiments in this study?   What are the new findings of this study? What are the new concepts that this study proposes?   What are the future directions of the topic described in this manuscript?

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

Manuscript NO: 80904

Title: Establishment of a prediction model for severe acute radiation enteritis associated with cervical cancer radiotherapy

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04551037

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, MSc

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-18

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-12-14 13:41

Reviewer performed review: 2022-12-18 09:20

Review time: 3 Days and 19 Hours

Scientific quality	[Y] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[Y] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [ ] No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript is well designed and well written. It concentrates ona very specific problme and revals promising results. It contains a detailed statistical analysis and the presentation of the data is quite good. I have only some minor concerns.   1. Core tip should emphasize the most important points of the study, not contain aim etc. 2. Discussion-conclusion: Conclusion section should be summarized to contain most emphasized results. It is too long in its current form.